



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_29195
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Effect of Chilli Pepper Extract and Capsaicin on Some Haematological Parameters and Serum Electrolytes in Albino Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract Line 14: Serum Na⁺, Cl⁻ and urea concentration were not showed no significant (p> 0.05) difference -----</p> <p>Line 20: Therefore, we suggest that capsaicin may might be detrimental if used by people to individuals with bleeding and/or blood coagulation disorders</p> <p>Materials and Methods' Line 73: for 30 days</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Results Table I and II: Author should state the full meaning of the abbreviations used in the table as part of the legend under the tables</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Chilli pepper: is this the botanical name of this plant, if yes, author should italizes it and if no, author should provide the botanical name of the plant in parenthesis</p> <p>The source of capsaicin should be reported under materials and methods. The author only justified the source of chilli pepper.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Omoboyowa Damilola Alex
Department, University & Country	Science Laboratory Technology, Akanu Ibiam Federal Polytechnic, Nigeria