



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_26835
Title of the Manuscript:	Donor Deferral Patterns at a Hospital-Based Blood Bank in Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Why weight less than 60 KG is reason for deferral as in India this is 45 KG. 2. In anaemia if the donors were advised o take iron and then come again is not clear. 3. What about having separate cadre for blood banks specialised in venipuncture. 4. You need to define that how much blod is taken in donation, in India it is 350 ml. 5. Also you need to tell if TTI testing is done before donation or not. 6. HTLV need to be defined as abbreviation. 7. Some mention need to be there for donor retention efforts.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	--	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Omesh Kumar Bharti</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Family Welfare Institute, Parimahal, Shimla, Himachal, India</i>