SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IBRR_26292**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Female Gender Contribution in Blood Donation and Alternatives: Fact & Factual**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**I think the reference to cadaveric blood need to be limited and not to be discussed in detail as this is obsolete.** **What about females rejected due to anaemia and weight, reported for donation in the blood bank.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Omesh Kumar Bharti***
Department, University & Country	***State Institute of Health and Family Welfare , Himachal Pradesh, India***