



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                          |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Blood Research &amp; Reviews</a>                               |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_IBRR_26292</b>                                                                     |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Female Gender Contribution in Blood Donation and Alternatives: Fact &amp; Factual</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>                                                         |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p><b>Please define gender (because if you consider the MeSH, the definition of gender is:</b> "A person's concept of self as being male and masculine or female and feminine, or ambivalent, based in part on physical characteristics, parental responses, and psychological and social pressures. It is the internal experience of gender role."). <b>It's important to know that gender, not necessarily is related with sex. For example: I have a female organism, but my gender is male. Do you understand?</b></p> <p><b>Suggestions: 1). Make clear the definition of the sample characteristics (Gender is not necessarily male (man) and female (woman), so define male(man) as being inside the sample of male's gender and female (woman) inside female's gender);2) Revise the keywords "female gender" and "blood donation" they are not included in the MeSH Browser 2016 (<a href="https://www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/2016/mesh_browser/MBrowser.html">https://www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/2016/mesh_browser/MBrowser.html</a>);3) This study was submitted to a Committee of research ethics? Leave well explained in Material and Methods.</b></p> <p><b>Ethical issues: It's not explained clearly in the article. The only expression that appears in text is: "(line102)...Written consent from (line103) the donor was also taken prior to donation...". I understand that is very important to mention that this work was submitted or not to a Committee of Ethics in Research and if it was approved or not too.</b></p> |                                                                                                                                                                               |



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                                         |                                                                              |  |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
|                                         | Clarify, if the study was submitted or not a Committee of Ethics in Research |  |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments   | No                                                                           |  |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments | No                                                                           |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                                     |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b><i>Lucienne Christine Estevez de Alencar</i></b> |
| Department, University & Country | <b><i>University of Brasilia, Brazil</i></b>        |