



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_27960
Title of the Manuscript:	Calotropis procera Extract Halts Plasmodium falciparum Transgression Through Red Blood Cell (RBC) Membrane
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Introduction Line 4: Morbidity and mortality of malaria has reduced, please check WHO 2015. <i>In vitro</i> % inhibition Was it 24 hours schizont inhibition assay that was carried out? Was it candle jar that was used to incubate the plates? Please remove all the figures against the sub title. Eg 1.1, 2.1. this is a manuscript.	Corrected in revised paper. Yes it was 24 hours. Plates were incubated in CO₂ incubator with 5 % CO₂. It is according to the journal guidelines.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The study was well designed and carried out.	