



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Blood Research & Reviews</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_27960
Title of the Manuscript:	Calotropis procera Extract Halts Plasmodium falciparum Transgression Through Red Blood Cell (RBC) Membrane
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. References of methods adopted to carry out all the analysis were not quoted under materials and methods. 2. SHADE DRIED IS NOT RELEVANT....BUT DRIED MATERIALS. 3. You may refer to AQOUEUS METHANOL to just METHANOL extract since it has been explained. 4. Replace HYDRO-ALCOHOLIC with your methanol extract. 5. First paragraph under Table 1, line 2..change PENILE to PENIS 6. Et al....italise them 7. References....adopt APA format	We have quoted all the references under the methods. Corrected Corrected Corrected Corrected We have formatted all the references according to journal guidelines.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>