



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_25826
Title of the Manuscript:	Iron Deficiency and Iron Deficiency Anemia in Adolescent Girls in Rural Upper Egypt
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This study is about the incidence of anemia in young girls in rural Upper Egypt. The incidence does not reflect the relation of anemia to age, BMI, nutritional status, or foods that interfere with iron absorption, although the authors mentioned that he has collected this data. This data is important and may have significant impact on the conclusion of this study. Please include the results of the relation of iron deficiency to BMI, food reach in iron, and food that interfere with iron absorption in the study. As this is very important and it is included in the study protocol.	According to your recommendations, I have done correlation between the Hb% level in the girls with iron deficiency anemia and their BMI, r was 0.029 and was not of statistical significance as $p=0.6$. Also, the correlations between Hb% and the frequency iron-rich food ingestion was $r=0.12$ and $p=0.03$ (weak but significant association), and the correlations between Hb% and the frequency iron-blocking food ingestion was $r= 0.23$ and $p= 0.01$ (weak but significant association). As the correlation coefficients were weak, I did not include them in the results of the manuscript. Should I add them???
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		