

Original Research Article

A Retrospective study of HBsAg in Pregnancy: Prevalence and Correlates in the South West Region of Cameroon.

ABSTRACT

Background: Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is a public health problem worldwide with a high burden in Sub-Saharan Africa. This burden is more felt in the paediatric population, mother to child transmission (MTCT) being a major mode of infection. This study sought to determine the prevalence of hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) positivity in pregnant women and to identify the factors associated with HBsAg positivity.

Methods: This was a retrospective study that involved third trimester pregnant women who attended antenatal care (ANC) and those in the post-partum period admitted at the maternity wards from 15th January to the 15th April, 2018. Data was collected using a structured questionnaire. HBsAg status was recorded from the participants result sheets of laboratory investigations requested at booking visit and from ANC registers. Data was analysed using SPSS version 23.

Results: Of the 349 women studied, 314 (90.0%) had previously screened during the ongoing pregnancy. The prevalence of HBsAg positivity among the screened women was 8.9% (95% CI: 5.4%- 12.4%). The prevalence was highest among the age group 20 to 25 years (10.7%) and in multiparous women (9.4%). A history of multiple sexual partners was associated with HBsAg positivity (OR: 10.9, CI: 1.5– 80.9, p: 0.04). However, none of the socio-demographic and obstetrical variables used in this study was associated with HBsAg positivity. HBV/HIV co-infection rate was 0.7%.

Conclusion: HBV infection was hyper- endemic in the southwest region of Cameroon. About one in ten pregnant women was infected with HBV infection. The scarcity of risk factors in

26 this group highlights the fact that hepatitis B screening in pregnancy should be made a routine
27 practice and not only based on risk factors.

28 **Key words:** Retrospective study, Hepatitis B virus, pregnancy, prevalence, correlates,
29 Cameroon.

30

31

32

1. INTRODUCTION

33 Hepatitis B virus infection (HBV) is a global public health problem with its burdens mainly in
34 WHO Western Pacific Region and WHO African Region where 6.2% and 6.1% of the adult
35 population is infected respectively [1]. Approximately 2 billion persons are infected
36 worldwide of which 240 million are chronic carriers of hepatitis B virus (HBV) [2]. Sub-
37 Saharan Africa is described as an area of high endemicity with an average prevalence above
38 8% [1, 3]. Few studies in Cameroon have evaluated the prevalence of HBV in different sub-
39 populations reported as; 11.9%, 19.9% and 7.7% in the general population, among children,
40 and among pregnant women respectively [4- 6]. Different studies have reported different rates
41 of HBV infection in pregnant women across various regions of Cameroon estimated at 4.4%
42 (in 2016), 9.7% (in 2014), 7.7% (in 2013) and 20.4% (in 2013) [4, 7 - 9]. Little is known on
43 the prevalence of hepatitis B in pregnancy in the south-west region.

44 The risk factors for hepatitis B infection are linked to contact with body fluids of infected
45 persons [1]. A study in Nigeria (in 2011) showed that the major risk factors were; previous
46 history of tribal marks/tattoos, history of contact with previously infected HBV patients and
47 occupation of the women [10]. However, in urban Cameroon (in 2013) only a history of
48 contact with HBV was reported as a significant risk factor [5]. These risk factors need to be
49 identified in each setting in order to design targeted preventive measures. This study was

50 carried out to determine the prevalence of HBV infection in pregnant women and to identify
51 the risk factors in a semi-urban region of Cameroon to bridge this gap.

52

53 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

54 **2.1 Study Design and Setting**

55 This cross-sectional study was conducted in three health facilities in the south-west region
56 between the 15th January to the 15th April, 2018. Two secondary health care centres and one
57 primary healthcare centre were selected for the study based on their high antenatal care client
58 turnout. The study sites were the District Hospital Kumba (primary); the Buea and Limbe
59 Regional Hospitals (secondary). Buea regional hospital (BRH), Limbe regional hospital (LRH)
60 and District hospital Kumba are all situated in the southwest region of Cameroon. The capital
61 of the region is Buea. These three hospitals offer antenatal care services on a daily basis. The
62 ANC services are offered by nurses working under the supervision of two
63 obstetricians/gynecologists in each of these settings.

64

65 **2.2 Study Population**

66 The study population included all pregnant women attending clinic and women in the
67 postpartum period admitted at the maternity ward in each of the selected centres. These
68 women were informed about the study and requested to thumb print or sign a written consent
69 once they understood the information. Only women who had attended at least one previous
70 antenatal were included into the study. A purposive sampling method was used to recruit
71 participants.

72 **2.3 Sample Size Calculation**

73 The minimum acceptable sample size was calculated using the Lorenz formula with a HBV
74 prevalence of 20.4% (Ducancelle et al 2013) [8]. A minimum sample size of 271 was

75 obtained with a 95% confidence and 5% accuracy and considering a 10% non-respondent
76 rate. During our study, we included 349 pregnant women.

77 **2.4 Data Collection**

78 An interviewer-administered structured questionnaire was used to collect data from both
79 literate and illiterate participants. The questionnaire contained questions on sociodemographic
80 characteristics, hepatitis B screening history during the previous antenatal visits and the
81 history of risk behavior for HBV infection. Prior to the use of the questionnaire in study
82 participants the questionnaire was pretested in 30 pregnant women in our setting with the aim
83 of revising poorly structured questions, estimate the average time required to fill the
84 questionnaire and thus validate the use of the questionnaire in our context. The data that was
85 obtained in the pretested group was not included in the final analysis

86 The hepatitis B status of participants was obtained from their laboratory result sheet for
87 requested tests during their antenatal booking visit and/or subsequent visits.

88 The risk factors were identified using the CDC hepatitis B risk assessment tool modified to
89 suit our context.

90 **2.5 Data Management and Analysis**

91 Data were analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version
92 23; frequency tables were created for proportions and Chi Square-test was used to determine
93 differences between categorical variables. Significant variables from cross tabulation between
94 HBsAg status and sociodemographic variables as well as risk behaviors were inserted into a
95 binary logistic regression model. A p-value of < 0.05 , was considered statistically significant.

96 **3. RESULTS**

97 **3.1 Socio - Demographic Characteristics.**

98 The socio- demographic characteristics of the 349 participants enrolled are summarized in
99 Table 1. Their ages ranged from 16 to 43 years with a mean age of 27.4 ± 5.2 years. The

100 predominant age group was 25<30 years representing 35.0% of the general population.
 101 Majority of the participants had completed secondary school (53.0%). Eighty-six (24.6%)
 102 were students and a greater proportion of the participants 195 (55.9%) were employed.
 103 Majority of the participants were married (67.0%). All pregnant participants were in the third
 104 trimester with gestational ages ranging from 28 to 41 weeks and a mean gestational age of
 105 32.2±4.1weeks. Most of the participants 192 (55.0%) were multigravidas when compared
 106 with 124 (35.5%) primigravidas and 33 (9.5%) grand multigravidas.

107
 108
 109
 110
 111

Table 1. Socio-demographic and obstetrical characteristics of participants (N=394).

Variables	Frequency (n = 394)	Percentage (%)
Age (years)		
< 20	18	5.2
20 < 25	91	26.1
25 < 30	122	35.0
30 < 35	96	27.5
≥35	22	6.3
Residence		
Urban	201	57.6
Rural	148	42.4
Religion		
Christian	338	96.8
Muslim	7	2.0
Atheist	0	0.0
Others	4	1.1
Marital status		
Single	115	33.0
Married / Cohabiting	234	67.0
Occupation		
Student	86	24.6
Employed	195	55.9
Unemployed	68	19.5
Educational level		

Primary	55	15.8
Secondary	185	53.0
University and beyond	108	30.9
Uneducated	1	0.3
Gravidity		
Primigravida	124	33.5
Multigravida	192	55.0
Grand multigravida	33	9.5

112
113
114
115
116

3.2 Prevalence of HBsAg

117 Of the 349 study participants, 314(90.0%) had been tested for HBsAg during their previous ANC visits. Of the
118 314 women who had been screened, 28 had tested positive for HBsAg giving a prevalence of 8.9% (95% CI:
119 5.4%- 12.4%). The prevalence of HBsAg was highest among the 20<25years age group and those living in rural
120 residences (Table 2). Three hundred and nineteen (91.4%) of all participants had screened for HIV of which 26
121 (8.2%) had tested. Two of the twenty-eight HBsAg positive women were equally HIV positive giving a
122 HIV/HBV co-infection rate of 0.7% among the population of women who had screened for both HBsAg and
123 HIV (294 women).

124

125 **Table 2. Age, Parity and HBsAg status of the pregnant women (N=349)**

126

Variable	Frequency (N = 349)(%)	HBsAg Unknown(%)	HBsAg (-) (%)	Prevalence HBsAg(+)*
Age(years)	18(5.2)	3(16.7)	14(77.8)	1(6.7)
< 20				
20 <25	91(26.1)	7(51.6)	75(82.4)	9(10.7)
25 <30	122(35.0)	14(11.5)	100(82.0)	8(7.4)
30 <35	96(27.5)	11(11.5)	79(82.3)	8(9.4)
>35	22(6.3)	2(9.1)	18(81.8)	2(10.0)
Gravidity				
Primigravida	124(35.5)	1(0.8)	103(83.1)	9(8.1)
Multigravida	225(94.5)	2(0.8)	183(81.3)	19(9.4)

127 *the prevalence is calculated from those screened only, which is not equal to frequency in this case.

128

129

130

131 **3.3 Factors associated with HBsAg positivity.**

132 None of the sociodemographic factors used in this study was significantly associated with
 133 HBsAg positivity (Table 3). A history of multiple sexual partners was associated with HBsAg
 134 positivity (OR: 10.9, CI: 1.5– 80.9, p: 0.04) with a prevalence of 50% in this group as
 135 compared to 8.4% in the group of single sexual partners (Table 4). Previous history of blood
 136 transfusion, contact with infected persons, surgical procedures and scarifications or tattoos,
 137 was not statistically significant routes of transmission of HBV (Table 4).

138

139 **Table 3. Socio-demographic / Obstetrical Characteristics and HBsAg seropositivity in**
 140 **study participants. (N=349)**

	HBsAg status		Odds ratio (95% C.I)	P- value
	Positive	Negative		
Age				
<35	26(8.8)	268(91.2)	0.9 (0.2- 4.0)	0.696
>35	2(10.0)	18(90.0)	1	
Residence				
Rural	16(12.4)	113(87.6)	1	
Urban	12(6.5)	173(93.5)	0.5 (0.2- 1.1)	0.07
Marital status				
Single	9(9.0)	91(91.0)	1.0 (0.4- 2.3)	0.972
Married	19(8.9)	195(91.1)	1	
Level of Education				
Educated	27(8.6)	286(91.4)	1	
Uneducated	1(100)	0(0)	11.6 (8.1- 16.6)	0.089
Gravidity				
Primigravida	9(8.1)	102(91.9)	0.9 (0.4- 2.0)	0.710
Multigravida	19(9.4)	184(90.6)	1	
ANC hospital				
Peripheral	14(9.9)	127(90.1)	1	
Regional	11(8.1)	124(91.9)	0.8 (0.4- 1.8)	0.606

141

142

143

144 **Table 4. Risk behaviours and HBsAg seropositivity in study participants.**

145

Risk behavior	HBsAg status		Odds ratio (95% C.I)	p- value
	positive	Negative		

Blood transfusion				
Yes	3(9.4)	29(90.6)	1.1(0.3 – 3.7)	1.000
No	25(8.9)	257(91.1)	1	
Scarifications				
Yes	15(10.6)	127(84.6)	1.4(0.6 – 3.1)	0.387
No	13(7.7)	155(92.3)	1	
Sexual partners				
1	26(8.4)	284(91.6)	1	
≥2	2(50)	2(50)	10.9(1.5- 80.8)	0.041
Contact with HBV				
Yes	3(12)	22(88)	1.8(0.5- 6.7)	0.413
No	17(7)	260(91.5)	1	
Previous surgery				
Yes	8(8.2)	89(91.8)	0.8(0.4- 2.1)	0.772
No	20(9.3)	196(90.7)	1	
Hx of STI				
Yes	7(11.1)	56(88.9)	1.4(0.5 – 3.3)	0.513
No	21(8.5)	227(91.5)	1	

146
147
148

149 **4. DISCUSSION**

150 Pregnancy is a period when most women of child bearing age are exposed to the health care
151 system. It is therefore an opportunity for the health care providers to screen these women for
152 diseases which could compromise the fetal well-being especially for a typically
153 asymptomatic infection like HBV. Given that an infected mother could transmit this
154 infection to her baby and that the prognosis of neonatal infection, we decided to carry out
155 this cross-sectional study to determine the prevalence of HBV infection in pregnancy and
156 the factors associated with infection.

157 The prevalence of HBV in pregnancy was 8.9%. This result is in accordance with the fact
158 that Cameroon is hyper-endemic for HBV infection [1]. This result is similar to 9.7% found
159 by Frambo *et al* (2014) in Buea health district [9]. In comparison with studies from other
160 parts of Cameroon, our prevalence was similar to 7.8%, 7.7% and 10.2% reported by
161 Kfutwah *et al* (2012 on blood samples collected 10 years earlier); Fomulu *et al* (2013) and
162 Noubiab *et al* (2015) respectively [5,11, 12]. The slight difference may be because of

163 differences in ethnicity, socioeconomic status and the natural difference attached to different
164 geographic zones. Specifically, the highest prevalence amongst these (10.2% in the North
165 region) could be due to their excessive adherence to tradition with reluctance to medical
166 services, their early ages at sexual debut due to early marriages, and their relatively higher
167 level of polygamous family settings. Our prevalence was higher than 4.4% reported by
168 Dionne - Odom *et al* (2016) [7]. This is probably due to the great diversity in their study
169 participants from different geographical areas (rural, semi-urban and urban) with different
170 prevalence in each group which when combined gave a relatively lower prevalence. This
171 result was lower than 20.4% reported by Ducanelle *et al* (2013) and 15.2% reported by and
172 Bonsi *et al* (2017) in two rural settings in the country [8, 13]. This may be due to the
173 difficult access to health facilities due to poor roads and hilly and mountainous areas leading
174 to reliance on traditional birth attendants associated with higher rates of infection. It may
175 also be explained by the lower rate of literacy coupled with poor access to information and
176 health education in the remote areas.

177 The mean age of HBsAg seropositivity was 26.9 years and the prevalence of HBsAg was
178 highest 9 (10.7%) in the age group of 20 <25 years. Women aged >35 years also had a high
179 prevalence 2 (10.0%). This result is in accordance with a mean age of HBsAg positivity of
180 26.9 years reported by Fomulu *et al* and somewhat tallies with the prevalent age group 25-
181 29 years in their study [5]. The result equally tallies with an average age of seropositivity of
182 26 years reported by Vaquez Martinez *et al* in Mexico; and the prevalent age group of 20 –
183 24 years reported by Eke *et al* in Nigeria and Ngaira *et al* in Kenya [10,14,15]. This could be
184 explained by the fact that most women by this age are likely to get married and become
185 pregnant prompting presentation for the first time for ante-natal care where the HBV
186 infected ones are likely to be picked up during screening.

187 The prevalence of HBsAg in pregnant women was high yet only one of the risk factors was
188 significantly associated with HBV infection. This result tallies with Fomulu *et al* and
189 Noubiab *et al* who found either one or two statistically significant risk factors to HBV
190 infection in pregnant women [5, 12]. This is contrary to Frambo *et al* in Buea health district
191 who found no significant risk factor [9]. This difference could be explained by their
192 relatively small sample size. In this study, we found on univariate analysis that a history of
193 multiple sexual partners was associated with HBsAg seropositivity. This is in accordance
194 with Luma *et al* who had a similar finding [16]. However, on multivariate analysis, none of
195 the factors assessed was significantly associated with HBsAg seropositivity in pregnancy.
196 The low detection of risk factors could be attributed to the small sample size of the study
197 population and recall bias. Similar findings were documented in a study carried out in Lagos,
198 Nigeria [17]. This probably highlights the fact that Screening pregnant women for hepatitis B
199 infection on the basis of risk factors might not be an effective public health approach in decreasing
200 the prevalence of HBsAg seropositivity.

201

202 5. CONCLUSION

203 Hepatitis B virus infection is a public health problem in the South-West Region of
204 Cameroon with a prevalence of HBsAg positivity of 8.9% in a population of pregnant
205 women attending ANC. A history of multiple sexual partners was the only factor
206 significantly associated with HBsAg positivity. The scarcity of risk factors in this group
207 highlights the fact that hepatitis B screening in pregnancy should be made a routine practice
208 and not only based on risk factors.

209 **Limitation of study.**

210 The retrospective design was the first limitation of our study, which could have led to recall
211 bias among study subjects. Furthermore, being a hospital-based study, the results cannot be
212 generalized to whole population.

213 **Abbreviations/Acronyms**

214 **ANC**: Antenatal care; **CI**: Confidence interval; **HBsAg**: Hepatitis B surface antigen; **HBV**:
215 Hepatitis B virus; **MTCT**: Mother to child transmission; **WHO**: World Health Organization.

216 **Availability of data and materials**

217 The data sets supporting the conclusion of this study are available from the corresponding
218 author on reasonable request.

219 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

220 Ethical clearance was obtained from the Faculty of Health Sciences Institutional Review
221 Board (N° 2018/ 128/ UB/ SG/IRB/ FHS) of the University of Buea and administrative
222 authorization from the Regional Delegation of Public Health for the South West Region of
223 Cameroon. Participants had the study protocol carefully explained to them and participation
224 was voluntary. Written informed or thumb print consent was obtained from all participants.
225 The procedures used were standard procedures involving minimum risks.

226 **References**

227 1. World Health Organization. WHO hepatitis B. Geneva: WHO; 2017. Available from:
228 <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs204/en/>. [Accessed 28 Oct 2017]

229 2. Lavanchy D, Kane M. Global Epidemiology of Hepatitis B Virus Infection. Hepatitis B Virus in
230 Human Diseases. 2016; p. 187–203.

231 3. Zampino R, Boemio A, Sagnelli C, Alessio L, Adinolfi LE, Sagnelli E, et al. Hepatitis B virus burden
232 in developing countries. World J Gastroenterol. 2015; 21(42):11941–53.

- 233 4. Chiamonte M, Stroffolini T, Ngatchu T, Rapicetta M, Lantum D, Kaptue L, et al. Hepatitis B virus
234 infection in Cameroon: a seroepidemiological survey in city school children. *J Med Virol.* 1991;33(2):95–9.
- 235 5. Fomulu NJ, Morfaw FL, Torimiro JN, Nana P, Koh MV, William T. Prevalence, correlates and
236 pattern of Hepatitis B among antenatal clinic attenders in Yaounde-Cameroon: is perinatal transmission of
237 HBV neglected in Cameroon? *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2013;13(1):158.
- 238 6. *Infectious Diseases of Cameroon: GIDEON Informatics, Google Books Cameroon: 2017 edition.*
239 Available from: <https://www.gideononline.com/ebooks/disease/>.
- 240 7. Dionne-Odom J, Mbah R, Rembert NJ, Tancho S, Halle-Ekane GE, Enah C et al. Hepatitis B, HIV, and
241 Syphilis Seroprevalence in Pregnant Women and Blood Donors in Cameroon: a cross-sectional study.
242 *Infectious Diseases in Obst and Gynecol Volume 2016.* Available from:
243 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/4359401>, Article ID 4359401.
- 244 8. Ducancelle A, Abgueguen P, Birguel J, Mansour W, Pivert A, Le Guillou-Guillemette H, *et al.* High
245 Endemicity and Low Molecular Diversity of Hepatitis B Virus Infections in Pregnant Women in a Rural
246 District of North Cameroon. *PLoS ONE.* 2013; 8(11).
- 247 9. Frambo AAB, Atashili J, Fon PN, Ndumbe PM. Prevalence of HBsAg and knowledge about hepatitis
248 B in pregnancy in the Buea Health District, Cameroon: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Res Notes.*
249 2014;7(1):394.
- 250 10. Eke AC, Eke UA, Okafor CI, Ezebialu IU, Ogbuagu C. Prevalence, correlates and pattern of hepatitis
251 B surface antigen in a low resource setting. *Virol J.* 2011 12;8:12.
- 252 11. Kfutwah AKW, Tejiokem MC, Njouom R. A low proportion of HBeAg among HBsAg-positive
253 pregnant women with known HIV status could suggest low perinatal transmission of HBV in Cameroon |
254 *Virology Journal* | Available from: <https://virologyj.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1743-422X-9-62>.
- 255 12. Noubiap JJN, Nansseu JRN, Ndoula ST, Bigna JJR, Jingi AM, Fokom-Domgue J. Prevalence,
256 infectivity and correlates of hepatitis B virus infection among pregnant women in a rural district of the Far
257 North Region of Cameroon. *BMC Public Health [Internet].* 2015 ;15(1).

- 258 13. PL026.Burden of Hepatitis B virus and the risk of vertical Transmission at the Penka Michel Health
259 District.Cameroon:PL026; Mar2017.
- 260 14. Ngaira JAM, Kimotho J, Mirigi I, Osman S, Ng'ang'a Z, Lwembe R, et al. Prevalence, awareness and
261 risk factors associated with Hepatitis B infection among pregnant women attending the antenatal clinic at
262 Mbagathi District Hospital in Nairobi, Kenya. Pan Afr Med J.2016; 24(315).
- 263 15. Vázquez-Martínez JL, Coreño-Juárez MO, Montaña-Estrada LF, Attlan M, Gómez-Dantés H.
264 Seroprevalence of hepatitis B in pregnant women in Mexico. Salud Pública México. 2003;45:165–70.
- 265 16. Luma HN, Eloumou SAFB, Ekaney DSM, Lekpa FK, Donfack-Sontsa O, Ngahane BHM, et al. Sero-
266 prevalence and Correlates of Hepatitis B and C Co-infection Among HIV-infected Individuals in Two
267 Regional Hospitals in Cameroon. Open AIDS J. 2016;10:199–208.
- 268 17. Rabiou KA, Akinola OI, Adewunmi AA, Omololu OM, Ojo TO. Risk factors for hepatitis B virus infection
269 among pregnant women in Lagos, Nigeria. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2010;89 (8):1024-8.
- 270
- 271