



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International STD Research &amp; Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_44713
Title of the Manuscript:	Hepatitis B in Pregnancy: Prevalence and Correlates in the South-West Region; Cameroon.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Manuscript seems interesting. Authors should consider the following corrections:            Modify title to: <b>A Retrospective study of HBsAg in Pregnancy: Prevalence and Correlates in the South West Region of Cameroon.</b>  <b>Abstract</b>            Line 10-11. Use modified title.            Line 12. This was a "retrospective study".            Line 19. Include the prevalence rates of age group and multiparous women.            Line 23. Reframe grammar in the starting sentence.  <b>Keywords:</b> Add Retrospective study to list of keywords.  <b>Introduction</b>            Check gross grammatical and syntax errors.            Line 46-48. Use modified title.  <b>Materials and Methods</b>            Study area missing?            Use primary and secondary healthcare centres rather than levels.            Line 77 should be transferred to Line 63.            State the total sample size used for the study.  <b>Results</b>            Include No. Examined in Table 3 and 4.            Odd ratios cannot be calculated for risk factors with more than 2 parameters. Check odd ratios.  <b>Discussion</b>            All results were not discussed here. It should be done sequentially as results were presented, relating it to previous and similar studies and justifying its outcomes.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	References should be based on Journal guideline. Add more recent references.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Authors should show clearly that study was retrospective and not prospective.	

**PART 2:**



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Oti Baba Victor</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nasarawa State University, Nigeria</b>