

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_44410
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF PEER EDUCATION AND PROVISION OF ON-SITE HCT SERVICES ON THE UPTAKE OF HCT AMONG PUBLIC EBONYI STATE, SOUTH EAST NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 I think details of the sample size calculation are needed; set variables, 	
	mathematical demonstrations, etc. Results	
	- Result comments should always precede tables or figures and not the reverse.	
	References	
	 An appropriate guide should be consulted to harmonize the list. Authors should start by slashing off the months in some references 	
Minor REVISION comments	Authors demonstrate a good mastery of language; but, better still to carry out a final thorough check, with much focus on a few typographic errors.	
Optional/General comments	Work is so appealing, and should be considered upon revision.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20			
PART 2:			

LIC SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

			Author's comm manuscript and mandatory that
Ī		(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
	Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	I think details of the sample size calculation are yet to be provided	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tabe Franklin Nyenty
Department, University & Country	University of Ngaoundere, Cameroon

mment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is nat authors should write his/her feedback here)