

Original Research Article

# EFFECT OF PEER EDUCATION AND PROVISION OF ON-SITE HCT SERVICES ON THE UPTAKE OF HCT AMONG PUBLIC SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN EBONYI STATE, SOUTH EAST NIGERIA

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Human Immunodeficiency virus/Acquired Immunodeficiency syndrome (HIV/AIDS) is a significant source of socioeconomic and disease burden especially among the Sub-Saharan African population. Less than 10% of these people especially the adolescents are aware of their status with an associated risk of increasing the spread of HIV. Therefore, this study was undertaken to determine the effect of peer education and the provision of onsite HIV Counselling and Testing services on uptake of HCT and the factors influencing its up-take among public secondary school students.

**Methods:** This was a school-based quasi-experimental study conducted from January to June 2016. A multi-stage sampling technique was used to select 932 students attending public secondary schools in Ebonyi State and an intervention comprising peer education and provision of onsite HCT services was administered in the intervention group. Data were collected using a pre-tested semi structured self-administered questionnaire and were analysed using IBM SPSS version 21. A p value of  $\leq 0.05$  was considered significant.

**Results:** At baseline, 56 (12%) in the intervention group and 61(13.1%) in the control group had ever been screened for HIV. After the 3 months intervention period, uptake of HCT increased significantly in the intervention group by 61.6% and in the control group by 1.5%;  $P < 0.01$ . Logistic regression revealed that being female, sexual exposure and condom use were predictors of HCT uptake.

**Conclusion and recommendation:** Peer health education and the provision of onsite HCT services significantly improved the uptake of HCT among secondary school students. Therefore, integrating peer health education into school health programmes and improving access to HCT services would be an effective strategy for increasing up-take of HCT among young people.

**Keywords:** HIV, *peer education, onsite HCT, young people*

## INTRODUCTION

Sub-Saharan Africa, having only about 10% of the world's population, has as high as 60% of all people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHAs) with less than 10% of these people being aware of their HIV status (1). The HIV epidemic in Nigeria is complex and varies widely by region. Nigeria has the second-largest number of people living with HIV with the prevalence of HIV among adolescents aged 15 to 19 years at 3.0%. In Ebonyi State and in particular, Abakaliki town a prevalence of 3.3% and 3.0% respectively has been reported (2). In spite of the HIV prevalence, studies have reported poor up-take of HCT attributed to inadequate sexual health education, access to HCT services, unhealthy cultural practices, parents not wanting their children under 16 years to get tested and poor health care system(3,4).

HCT is the process by which individuals or couples undergo counselling to enable them to make an informed choice about being tested for HIV. This decision must be entirely the choice of the individuals and they must be assured that the process will be confidential. To increase the demand for HCT, it is important to reduce the associated stigma and discrimination and also, to use the right model of HCT that will make comprehensive HIV services accessible (3,5). The successful use of peer education as a strategy to intervene in health matters concerning the adolescents and young people have been well documented (6–9). However, even though peer education has been found to improve knowledge and sexual behaviour in adolescents, it has not been so

successful in increasing uptake of HCT due to its inherent focus of improving mostly knowledge. Hence, it is not surprising that the uptake of HCT among the adolescents exposed to peer education is still very low (10–14).

Some schools and school-based health clinics offer testing on site, which has been shown to be cost-effective in areas with higher prevalence. For instance, school-based health centres across Seattle in United States provides free, on-site clinical services, for HIV and other STI counselling and testing using peer educators as HCT service providers (15). In Zambia a massive screening campaign of HIV/AIDS revealed more than 3% HIV sero-positivity rate(4). A study among health care professional students in Kilimanjaro region of Tanzania, revealed that majority of the students preferred college based HCT model of provision of HCT services and also reported that the services should be provided during youth activities and integrated into youth programs such as STIs and family planning(16). Similarly, a study in Ethiopia on HCT among adolescent observed that, majority of adolescents knew about HCT services and actually utilised them but complained about the accessibility of HCT services and further suggested that such services should be offered within the schools(17). 'I chose life' (ICL) group conducted a follow-up survey on the impact of peer education on HIV prevention and HCT uptake among students at Kenyatta University and reported that, the number of students tested for HIV had doubled with about a quarter of them being tested during an ICL HCT event (18).

This study seeks to find out if peer education and provision of on-site HCT services in a secondary school will improve the up-take of HCT among young people as well as, determine the factors influencing its uptake.

## METHODOLOGY

**Study Area:** This study was conducted in Abakaliki, Ebonyi State within the South-East zone of the Federal Republic of Nigeria. Ebonyi State occupies a land mass of 5,935 square kilometres, with a projected population of about 2.7 million people with a growth rate of 3.2% with Abakaliki, having a population of 79,280 according to the 2006 population census(19). There are 8 accredited public and 21 private secondary schools in Abakaliki. Out of the 8 public owned schools, 2 are single sex schools while 6 are co-educational schools out of which two have peer educators club. No school in Ebonyi State owns an onsite adolescent friendly centre or offers HCT services. HCT services are provided only in two hospitals within Abakaliki; Federal Teaching Hospital Abakaliki (FETHA) and Mile 4 Hospital.

**Study Design:** This was a quasi-experimental study conducted in three phases; baseline survey, intervention, and post-intervention and had a study and control group.

**Study Population/Selection criteria:** The study population comprised of all senior (SS1-SS3) students attending secondary schools in Abakaliki, Ebonyi. The inclusion criteria for sample selection; public co-educational secondary schools with non-existent peer educators' club. Exclusion criteria; schools that declined participation.

**Sample Size Determination:** Using the formula for calculating sample size when comparing independent proportions(20) and correcting for attrition, a sample size of 466 was calculated for the intervention and control groups respectively comprising a total of 932 study participants. This was sufficient to detect a difference of estimated 5.5% between the groups with 80% power and at 5% significance level.

**Sampling Technique:** A multi-stage sampling technique was used, to recruit study participants. In the first stage, Abakaliki Local Government Area (LGA) was purposively selected from the 13 LGAs in Ebonyi State. In the second stage, simple random sampling by balloting was used to select two schools from the four co-educational public schools in Abakaliki without peer educators club. The two schools selected were Abakaliki High school (Intervention group) and Government Technical College Abakaliki (Control group). In the third stage, simple random sampling using the table of random numbers was used to select students from each class register proportionate to the class size until the required sample size was reached from both the intervention and control school groups (21). In the intervention school group, this selection was done after excluding the twenty-

91 five students that were trained as peer educators. A total of 466 students from the intervention and control  
92 school groups respectively were selected after informed consents.

93 **Intervention:** The intervention comprised peer education and the provision of onsite HCT services. In  
94 intervention school group, 25 peer educators (12 boys and 13 girls) were selected from Senior Secondary  
95 Classes 1, 2 and 3. With the assistance of the head teacher, one peer educator was selected per class stream on  
96 the basis of interest, academic performance and possession of leadership qualities. A pre-training assessment  
97 was done followed by a 5-day training workshop for the peer educators. All lecture modules were adapted from  
98 Family Health International (FHI) peer education manuals (22,23). Role play, drama and games were also  
99 written and performed by the participants to demonstrate what they had learnt and to test their skills in HIV  
100 counselling, negotiating sex and refusal. A post training assessment was also done to determine the effect of the  
101 training on their knowledge of peer education, HCT and HIV/AIDS. All the training participants scored above  
102 60% and hence, were recruited as peer educators.

103 Similarly, after a pre-training assessment, a 5-day supervisors' training on HCT and peer education was  
104 conducted for two health personnel (environmental health officer and a public health officer) from Ebonyi State  
105 Agency for the control of AIDS (EBOSACA) and one guidance and counselling teacher.

106 Following the training of the supervisors and peer educators, an onsite adolescent friendly HCT centre was  
107 established in the intervention school group where serial HIV testing was done using the Nigerian National  
108 algorithm for HIV antibody rapid test.(23,24). The HCT procedure and documentation were monitored two  
109 times a week.

#### 110 **Data Collection/Analysis**

111 At baseline, data were collected from the study and control groups using a self-administered semi-structured  
112 questionnaire adapted from National Demographic Health Survey (NDHS) and AIDS indicator survey (AIS)  
113 (12,25). Data were collected on participants' demographic and social characteristics, uptake of HCT, their  
114 preferred model for HCT and the reason for their preference was also obtained. Information was also collected  
115 on their exposure to peer education on HIV/AIDS and HCT

116 After three months of intervention, the questionnaire was re-administered to both the intervention and control  
117 school groups. In addition, counselling and testing forms, daily work summary sheet and the monthly summary  
118 sheet were used to collect data from the on-site HCT centre on students that were counselled and tested at the  
119 centre and the following variables were of interest; number of students counselled and tested for HIV per day,  
120 number of students who received peer education before testing and number of students who tested positive to  
121 the virus.

122 All questionnaires were reviewed by the investigator for completeness. Incomplete or wrongly filled  
123 questionnaires were not analysed. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21 was used for  
124 data analysis (26). Frequencies, means, standard deviations and Chi-square test statistic were calculated.  
125 Variables were included into the regression model based on a cut-off of  $p=0.1$  after cross tabulation with the  
126 outcome variable and multivariable logistic regression was done to ascertain the predictors of HCT uptake.  
127 Significance for all tests was set at  $p \leq 0.05$ .

#### 128 **Ethical consideration**

129 A meeting was held with the Parents Teachers Association (PTA) to get a 'blanket' consent for their children or  
130 wards to participate in the study and get tested if they wished. A written consent letter was obtained from  
131 parents of all the students that wished to get tested. Assent was also obtained from the students.

132  
133  
134  
135

# RESULTS

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristic of respondents

Variables	Study group (n = 466) No. (%)	Control group n = 466 No. (%)	$\chi^2$ ( p value)
<b>Age in years (mean <math>\pm</math>SD)</b>	16.3 $\pm$ 1.6	16.4 $\pm$ 1.6	0.46*(0.62)
<b>Age</b>			
12- 14	58(12.4)	61(13.1)	0.48(0.78)
15- 17	308(66.1)	298(63.9)	
>18	100(21.5)	107(23.0)	
<b>Gender</b>			
Male	222 (47.1)	249 (52.4)	3.13 (0.08)
Female	244 (52.9)	217 (46.6)	
<b>Current class</b>			
SS 1	149 ( 31.9)	162 (34.7)	1.20 (0.55)
SS 2	182 (39.1)	167 (35.8)	
SS 3	135 (29.0)	137 (29.5)	
<b>Tribe</b>			
Igbo	448 ( 96.1)	448 (96.1)	0.47 (0.79)
Hausa	10 (2.1)	12 (2.6)	
Yoruba	8 (1.7)	6 (1.3)	
<b>Religion</b>			
Christianity	442 (94.8)	443 (95.1)	0.03 (0.98)
Islam	17 (3.6)	16 (3.4)	
Traditional	7 (1.5)	7 (1.5)	
<b>Marital status</b>			
Single	417 (89.5)	407 (87.3)	1.21 (0.75)
Married	25 (5.4)	32 (6.9)	
Separated	18 (3.9)	21(4.5)	
Widowed	6 (1.3)	6 (1.3)	
<b>Family type</b>			
Polygamous	251 (53.9)	256 (54.9)	2.23(0.89)
Monogamous	210(45.1)	204(43.8)	
Single parent	5 (1.1)	6 (1.3)	

\*T-test statistic

Respondents in both groups were similar in their socio-demographic characteristics ( $p>0.05$ ). The mean age of respondents in the control group was  $16.4 \pm 1.6$  years, and  $16.3 \pm 1.6$  years for the study group. The highest proportion of respondents were in the 15-17year age category in both groups. There was no statistical difference in gender and class distribution of respondents. Over 90% of respondents in both groups were from the Igbo tribe. Respondents' religion was also similar. Majority of respondents in both groups were single: 407 (87.3%) in the control group and 417 (89.5%) in the study group. The family type of both groups was also similar in distribution as above 50% of respondents from both groups were from polygamous family setting. Thirty-four (13.9%) of the female respondents in the study group and 30 (13.8%) in the control group have been pregnant before. This proportion does not differ significantly statistically ( $P= 0.10$ ).

149 Table 2: Sexual behaviour at baseline and post intervention among respondents

Variables	Baseline		$\chi^2$ test (p-value)	Post Intervention		$\chi^2$ (p-value)
	Study n= 466	Control n= 466		Study n= 428	Control n= 460	
<b>Ever had sexual intercourse</b>	194(41.6)	215(46.1)	1.92(0.17)	189(44.2)	287(62.4)	29.64(<0.01)
	<b>n=194</b>	<b>n=215</b>		<b>n=189</b>	<b>n=287</b>	
<b>Sexual intercourse in past 3 months</b>	160(82.4)	160(74.4)	3.88(0.05)	82(43.4)	163(56.4)	8.20(<0.01)
<b>No. of partners in the past 3 months</b>						
None	34(17.5)	55(25.6)	4.99(0.08)	107(56.6)	124(43.2)	22.13(<0.01)
Only one	108(55.7)	99(46.0)		61(32.3)	79(27.5)	
More than one	52(26.8)	61(28.4)		21(11.1)	84(29.3)	
<b>Used condom</b>						
First intercourse	88(45.4)	108(50.2)	0.97(0.32)	88(46.6)	120(41.8)	1.04(0.31)
Last intercourse	93(47.9)	119(55.3)	2.24(0.13)	106(56.1)	127(44.3)	6.38(0.01)
<b>Frequency of condom use:</b>						
Always	44(22.7)	61(28.2)	9.12(0.03)	97(51.3)	72(25.1)	36.24(<0.01)
Sometimes	69(35.5)	54(25.0)		36(19.0)	103(36.0)	
Occasionally	38(19.6)	33(15.3)		16(8.5)	28(9.7)	
Never	43(22.2)	67(31.5)		40(21.2)	84(29.2)	

150  
151 At the beginning of the study there was no significant difference in the proportion of sexually exposed  
152 respondents among the study and control group. At 3 months post intervention, the number of sexually active  
153 respondents in the control group was higher than those in the study group, and the difference was statistically  
154 significant ( $p<0.01$ ). Also, at baseline there was no significant difference between the study and control group in  
155 the frequency of sexual intercourse in the last 3 months and number of sexual partners, but at 3 months post  
156 intervention, a higher proportion 163 (56.4%) of respondents in the control group, compared to 82 (43.4%) in  
157 the study group had sex within 3 months of the study ( $p<0.01$ ). There was statistically significant increase in the  
158 proportion of respondents that had more than one sexual partner among the control group compared to the study  
159 group 84 (29.3%) and 21 (11.1%) respectively, ( $p<0.01$ ).

160 Condom use was assessed by assessing use at first and last coital activities in the last 3 months, and by assessing  
161 the frequency of consistent use for every coital activity. On condom use in the first and last coital activities,  
162 there was no significant difference at baseline among the two groups, but at 3 months post-intervention a  
163 significantly higher proportion of the study group used condom during their last intercourse; an increase from 93  
164 (47.9%) to 106 (56.1%), compared to the control group that had a reduced proportion of condom use from  
165 55.3% to 44.3%, ( $p=0.01$ ).

166 On frequency of consistent condom use, both groups were similar at baseline. At 3 months post intervention, the  
167 frequency of consistent condom use increased among the study than control group. Ninety-seven (51.3%)  
168 respondents in the study group used condoms always compared to 72 (25.4%) in the control group,  $p<0.01$ .  
169 Also, the proportion of respondents that never used condoms reduced significantly in the study 40 (21.2%) than  
170 control group 84(29.2%). This difference was statistically significant ( $p<0.01$ ).

171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178

179 Table 3: HCT up-take at baseline and post intervention among respondents

Variables	Baseline		$\chi^2$ test (p-value)	Post Intervention		$\chi^2$ (p-value)
	Study n= 466	Control n= 466		Study n= 428	Control n= 460	
Willing to screen for HIV	380(81.5)	372(79.8)	0.44(0.51)	352(82.2)	325(70.7)	16.44(<0.01)
Screened for HIV	56(12.0)	61(13.1)	0.24(0.62)	315(73.6)	67(14.6)	315.2(<0.01)
Screened at school	n=56	n=61		n=315	n=67	
My school	0(0.0)	0(0.0)		248(78.7)	0(0.0)	
Time of screening:						
< 3 months	28(50.0)	38(62.3)	1.92(0.38)	268(85.1)	43(64.2)	20.75(<0.01)
3 – 1 year	12(21.4)	11(18.0)		19(6.0)	15(22.4)	
> 1 year	16(28.6)	12(19.7)		28(8.9)	9(13.4)	

180

181 At baseline, there was no significant statistical difference in willingness to screen for HIV between the study  
 182 and the control groups, majority of the respondents 380 (81.5%) and 372 (79.8%) respectively were willing to  
 183 screen for HIV. At 3 months post intervention, there was an increase in the proportion of respondents 352  
 184 (82.2%) that were willing to get tested for HIV in the study group and a decrease 325 (70.7%) in the control  
 185 group, this difference was statistically significant, (p<0.01). There was no significant statistical difference at  
 186 baseline in the proportion of respondents that had screened for HIV in both the study and control groups. Only  
 187 56 (12%) and 61 (13.1%) in the study and control group respectively had done HIV test prior to the study but at  
 188 the end of the intervention there was a significant raise of 61.6% in uptake of HIV/HCT in the study group (315  
 189 (73.6%)) compared to only 1.5% raise in the control group (67 (14.6%)), (p<0.01).

190 The study group showed a statistically significant increase in respondents that had their test done in their school  
 191 adolescent friendly centre. Of the 315 (73.6%) respondents that screened for HIV during the study period, 248  
 192 (78.7%) did the screening in their School centre, p<0.01. Also, at 3 months post intervention there was a  
 193 statistically significant increase in the proportion of respondents in the study group 268 (85.1%) than the control  
 194 group 43 (64.2%) that had screened for HIV within 3 months of the intervention, (p<0.01).

195 Table 4: Comparison of where respondents preferred to do HCT/HIV test and reasons for their preference.

	Baseline			Post Intervention		
Variables	Study n= 380 No. (%)	Control n= 372 No. (%)	$\chi^2$ (p-value)	Study n= 352 No. (%)	Control n= 325 No. (%)	$\chi^2$ (p-value)
Places where respondents will prefer to do HCT/HIV test						
In my school HCT centre	184(48.4)	183(49.2)	7.78(0.10)	221(62.8)	183(56.3)	5.43(0.14)
In an hospital HCT centre	123(32.3)	157(42.2)		113(32.1)	117(36.0)	
Private lab in town	56(14.8)	25(6.7)		14(4.0)	23(7.1)	
Others	17(4.5)	7(1.9)		4(1.1)	2(0.6)	
Reasons for the preferred HCT centre						
It is convenient	167(44.0)	145(38.9)	1.91(0.17)	145(41.2)	109(33.5)	4.22(0.04)
It is very accessible	140(36.8)	156(41.9)	2.04(1.15)	130(37.0)	138(42.5)	2.16(0.14)
Stigmatisation is less	66(17.4)	65(17.6)	0.00(0.97)	73(20.7)	66(20.3)	0.02(0.89)
Others	7(1.8)	6(1.6)	0.06(0.81)	4(1.1)	12(3.7)	4.78(0.03)

196  
197 Among the respondents that were willing to do HCT/HIV test, the School HCT centre was the most preferred  
198 centre among the study and control groups; 184(48.4%) and 183(49.2%) respectively at baseline, ( $p>0.05$ ) and  
199 at 3 months post intervention a higher proportion among the study (221 or 62.8%) than control group (183 or  
200 56.3%) preferred the School HCT centre, though this was not statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ). The reasons for  
201 their preference in both the study and control groups were convenience 167(44%), 145(38.9%) and accessibility  
202 to the centre 140(36.8%), 156(41.9%) respectively. Same reasons were given at 3 months post intervention with  
203 a higher proportion in the study than control group reporting convenience as the commonest reason for their  
204 preferred model. This difference was statistically significant, ( $p=0.04$ ). Other reasons among the study group  
205 were that the services were offered free of charge, and that they were encouraged by seeing their peers get  
206 tested.

207 Table 5: Comparison of motivating factors and deterrents for HCT/ HIV test at baseline and post intervention

	Baseline			Post Intervention		
Reasons why respondents have done HIV test	Study n= 56 No. (%)	Control n= 61 No. (%)	$\chi^2$ (P-value)	Study n= 315 No. (%)	Control n= 67 No. (%)	$\chi^2$ (P-value)
I was forced	17(30.4)	13(21.3)	1.25(0.26)	5(1.6)	13(19.4)	39.06(<0.01)
Wanted to know my status	16(28.6)	17(27.9)	0.01(0.93)	105(33.3)	13(19.4)	5.02(0.03)
Ill health	16(28.6)	21(34.4)	0.46(0.49)	42(13.3)	21(31.3)	3.61(2.00)
A parent's sexual partner died of HIV or had positive result	15(26.8)	21(34.4)	0.80(0.37)	32(10.2)	20(29.9)	4.27(1.00)
Doctor's recommendation	9(16.1)	16(26.2)	1.79(0.18)	13(4.1)	15(22.4)	27.12(<0.01)
School enrolment	8(14.3)	15(24.6)	1.96(0.16)	20(6.3)	11(16.4)	2.74(1.10)
Had unprotected sexual contact	5(8.9)	12(19.7)	2.71(0.99)	24(7.6)	15(22.4)	13.14(<0.01)
Entering new sexual relationship	4(7.1)	7(11.4)	0.64(0.42)	5(1.6)	6(8.9)	30.72(<0.01)
Pregnancy (during antenatal)	3(5.4)	7(11.5)	1.39(0.24)	3(0.95)	8(11.9)	23.85(<0.01)
Peer educator's recommendation	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	-	267(84.8)	0(0.0)	188.64(<0.01)
<b>Reasons why respondents have not done HIV test</b>	<b>n= 410</b>	<b>n= 405</b>		<b>n= 113</b>	<b>n= 393</b>	
Fear of having a positive result	177(43.1)	197(48.6)	2.46(0.12)	73(64.6)	193(49.1)	8.45(<0.01)
Fear of a positive result, stigmatisation and discrimination	166(40.5)	182(44.9)	1.65(0.20)	63(55.8)	185(47.1)	2.64(0.10)
I am not at risk of HIV infection	166(40.5)	141(34.8)	2.79(0.09)	82(72.6)	161(41.0)	35.11(<0.01)
Distance to HCT centre	52(12.7)	48(11.9)	0.13(0.72)	0(0.0)	46(11.7)	14.55(<0.01)
Unaware of where HCT services can be obtained	27(6.6)	22(5.4)	0.48(0.48)	0(0.0)	20(5.1)	5.99(0.01)
Others	12(3.0)	10(2.5)	0.16(0.69)	10(2.5)	14(3.6)	5.43(0.02)

At baseline, the commonest reasons given by respondents for doing HIV/HCT were that: they were forced to do the test, they wanted to know their status, ill health. At 3 months post intervention, the commonest reason for getting tested among the study was; recommendation by a peer educator 267 (84.4%), ( $p<0.01$ ). Other reasons were noticed to have reduced significantly in the study compared with the control group.

Likewise, at baseline, the reasons for not screening for HIV infection, did not show any statistical significant difference between the two groups. The commonest reasons given by respondents in the study and control groups include; fear of a positive HIV test result 177(43.1%), 197 (48.6%), fear of discrimination and stigmatisation 166 (40.5%), 182 (44.9%), perception of not being at risk 166 (40.5%), 141 (34.8%) respectively. At 3 months post intervention, the commonest reasons were the same as baseline except for a statistically significant reduction among the study than control group in response to; unaware of where to screen 0 (0.0%), 20 (5.1%) and distance to HCT centre 0 (0.0%), 46 (11.7%) respectively, ( $p<0.01$ ). Other reasons for not getting tested among the study group were fear of pin prick, sight of blood, fear of using contaminated needles and cost of HCT among the control group.

Table 6: Multivariable logistic regression results for predictors of HCT uptake in the study group at 3 months post intervention

Independent Variables N=428		$\beta$	p-value	AOR (95% CI)
<b>Gender</b>	Female (male)	0.52	<0.01*	1.70 (1.28 – 2.21)
	>15 years (<15 years)	0.04	0.85	1.04 (0.68 – 1.59)
<b>Risky sexual activity</b>	Had sexual intercourse (not had )	0.49	<0.01*	1.63 (1.25 – 2.14)
	1 partner (>1 partner)	-0.13	0.66	0.90 (0.50 – 1.54)
	Condom use (None use)	-0.44	0.03*	0.65 (0.43 – 0.97)
<b>Risk perception</b>	Not at risk (at risk)	-0.16	0.81	0.85 (0.22 – 3.30)
<b>Willingness to screen for HIV</b>	Willing to screen (not willing)	0.04	0.83	1.41 (0.74 – 1.47)

In the study group, the odds of a female taking-up HCT was 1.7 times more than a male. Uptake of HCT also increased with increasing age group, those that were >15 years were 1.04 times more likely to take up HCT than those less than 15years, ( $p= 0.85$ ). Uptake of HCT was 1.6 times more in those who had ever had sexual intercourse than those who did not and 1.5 times less in those who use condoms than those who do not, giving a significant negative association between condom use and HCT uptake ( $p= 0.03$ ; C.I. 0.43, 0.97). Those that perceived themselves as not being at risk of contracting HIV were 1.2 times less likely to take up HCT than those that perceive themselves as being at risk, ( $p=0.81$ ). The odds that someone willing to screen for HIV will take-up HCT was 1.4 times more likely than those that were not willing to screen for HIV, ( $p=0.83$ ). Significant positive associations were found between gender and HCT uptake ( $p<0.01$ ; C.I.1.28, 2.21) and between sexual exposure and uptake of HCT ( $p= 0.01$ ; C.I. 0.50, 0.80). A negative association was found between condom use and HCT uptake as those that use condoms were 1.5 times less likely to take-up HCT compare to those who do not use condoms ( $p=0.03$ ; C.I. 0.43,0.97)

Table 7: Summary data of HCT services from the Adolescent friendly centre at the end of the study

Data Elements		Male	Female	Total (%)
	Positive	0	1 (0.2%)	1(0.2)
	Negative	232 (39.2)	358 (60.7)	590 (99.8)
No. of students tested from the study participants and received peer-to-peer education				248 (41.9)
Total no. of students screened				591

A total of 591 students received HIV counselling and testing at the school adolescent friendly centre. Two hundred and forty-eight of them were participants in the study (equivalent to 42% of all the clients seen in the centre and 53.2% of the study participants). Five hundred and ninety clients (99.8%) tested negative while one client tested positive for HIV and was referred to the Teaching Hospital ART clinic. Majority of the clients were females; 359 (60.7%) while males were 232 (39.3%). All the clients were counselled before and after testing and none of them declined testing. Other students that visited the centre to play games and interact with one another were not recorded.

#### IV DISCUSSION

This study showed that majority of the respondents were willing to do HCT/HIV test. While there was a further increase in the proportion of those willing to do HCT/HIV test among the study group at post intervention, the control group had a significantly lower percentage at the end of the study. This shows that peer education and the presence of on-site HCT services may have helped in re-emphasising the importance of HCT among the study group.

This study also revealed a low level of HCT uptake at baseline. Despite the high level of willingness to do HCT, only 12% in the study group and 13% in the control group at baseline had ever done HCT/HIV test. This shows how low the uptake of HCT is among secondary school students in Ebonyi State. This is in keeping with most literatures published on the uptake of HCT among adolescents and youths in other regions.(14,27) HCT uptake increased significantly by 61.6% within the study group with no significant increase in the control group. Of this increase in the study group, 85% had HCT within the intervention period and as much as 78.7% had it done in their school adolescent friendly centre  $p<0.01$ , while only 21.3% did it in other HCT centres. When asked where respondents will prefer to do HCT, majority in both groups at baseline (48.4% in study and 49.2% in control group) and post intervention (63% in study group and 56% in the control group) said they would prefer to do HCT/HIV in their school HCT centre,  $p>0.05$ . Reasons given were that it was more convenient and very accessible. This implies that though some respondents preferred to go outside the school centre to do their HCT/HIV test, majority preferred to do it on-site in their school HCT centre. These findings are similar to a study done in a tertiary institution in Gindiri northern Nigeria, where up-take of HCT increased from 23.4% to 42.2% following health education and an on-site mobile HCT clinic.(28) This is also in line with a study done in Ethiopia by Gatta et al, among adolescents where majority of respondents suggested that HCT be offered within their school. (29) An increase in uptake was also reported by AIDS Healthcare foundation in Zambia, where on-site HCT/HIV test was done in 20 high schools and secondary schools and a massive turnout of students was recorded.(4) Likewise in a study by Mgosha et al in Tanzania revealed that majority of students preferred college based HCT model to other models.(16) A prospective study in Zimbabwe by Sherra et al, on communities with on-site mobile HCT clinic also showed a life time increase in HCT uptake from 6% to 11%.(30) This further underscores the need for HCT to be brought to the students.

Respondents had various reasons for doing HIV test in the study and control groups. At baseline respondents did the test because they were forced to, wanted to know their HIV status, ill health, knew a parent or sexual partner that died of HIV or had a positive result, had unprotected sex, doctor's recommendation and school enrolment. The difference in reasons between both group was not statistically significant. But at 3 months post-intervention, majority of respondents in the study had done HIV test because it was recommended by a peer educator (84.8%)  $P<0.01$  and because they wanted to know their status (33.3%)  $P=0.03$ , those that did the test because they were forced to do it reduced significantly among the study group. All reasons given at baseline among the control group did not show any statistical difference at 3 months post- intervention. This implies that peer educators can influence behaviour change towards HCT uptake.

Among those that haven't done HCT/HIV test the major reasons given at baseline were; fear of a positive result, stigmatisation and a perception of not being at risk. Others were distance to HCT centre and unaware of were to do HCT. These reasons were similar at pre and post intervention for both study and control group except for being unaware of where to obtain HCT and distance to HCT centre which reduced significantly to 0% in the

study group. These factors were also similar to factors reported by several studies. (31,32) This is not surprising because HIV, a sexually transmitted virus is the causative agent of AIDS, the level of stigmatisation attached to this virus and disease in traditional African society is still very high and it appears that all effort at addressing this has not been very effective. This just goes to show the areas where peer education and health education should focus on to further increase HCT uptake.

Logistic regression revealed that being a female, sexual exposure and condom use were predictors of uptake of HCT. This may indicate that females are more conscious of their sexual and reproductive health than males. This is not surprising given the high level of stigmatisation and discrimination in our environment towards unwanted pregnancy, HIV and STI when they occur in females than males. Up-take also increased with increasing age though not statistically significant. Influence by gender and age was reported in NDHS 2013; (33) where percentage of 'ever tested' increased with increasing age group and 19.2% of females aged 15-24 had ever had HIV test compare to 9.9% males in the same age group. Also, a survey reported by WHO showed that 1 in 6 women and 1 in 10 young men have been tested for HIV. [39] Studies done in Cameroun and Tanzania also showed similar gender difference.(34,35) It was also observed that those that had had sexual intercourse in the study group were 1.6 times more likely to take-up HCT than those that were not sexually exposed, C.I; 1.25-2.14,  $p=0.01$ . It was also observed in this study that those who used condoms were 1.5 times less likely to take-up HCT than those that did not use it, ( $p=0.03$ , C.I; 0.43-0.97). This is because they most likely believed that using condoms protected them and therefore they did not see the need to get tested. The number of sexual partners did not significantly affect uptake of HCT but those with one sexual partner were 1.1 times less likely to take-up HCT compared to those with more than one partner. On the perception of risk of having HIV; those that perceived themselves as not being at risk of contracting HIV were 1.2 times less likely to take-up HCT than those that perceive themselves to be at risk,  $p=0.81$ . Perception of not being at risk was identified as a factor influencing up-take of HCT in a descriptive study done in Kwara State.(36)

# CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The introduction of peer education and onsite HCT services was effective in improving public secondary school students' up-take of HCT. HCT improved remarkably from 12% in the study group to 73% at the end of the study,  $p < 0.01$ . Major predictors of HCT uptake revealed from this study were: gender, sexual exposure and condom use. Other factors influencing uptake include: fear of a positive test result, stigmatisation and discrimination, a low risk perception and distance to HCT centre. Hence, we make the following recommendations;

Adolescent friendly centres providing free HCT services should be integrated into the secondary school environment to attract this vulnerable group to take-up HCT because they are at risk and vulnerable to be affected with HIV/AIDS. Peer educators should be trained and supervised on a regular basis to ensure they are imparting the right information to their peers as this study has shown that one of the commonest sources of information on HCT is from peer educators and friends. Lastly, the quality of teaching of family life education that is already incorporated in most secondary schools in Nigeria should be continuously monitored by the relevant agencies to ensure that correct information on HIV/AIDS is being passed across to the students, this is aimed at eliminating the misconceptions uncovered by this study.

# REFERENCES

1. The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS), United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) WHO (WHO). Global AIDS response progress reporting 2014; construction of core indicators for monitoring the 2011 United Nations political Declaration in HIV and AIDS [Internet]. Geneva, Switzerland; [cited 2014 Dec 7]. Available from: [http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media\\_asset/GARPR\\_2014\\_guidelines\\_en\\_0.pdf](http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/GARPR_2014_guidelines_en_0.pdf)
2. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). Global AIDS epidemic [Internet]. [cited 2013 Jan 1]. Available from: <http://www.Unaids.org/en/sub-saharanAfrica>
3. FMOH. National HIV Sero-prevalence Sentinel Survey: Technical report. Nigeria Federal Ministry Of Health (FMOH), Department of Public Health National AIDS/STI Control Programme. Abuja, Nigeria; 2010. 49-90 p.

- 343 4. AIDS Healthcare Foundation (AHF). AHF Zambia tests thousands in high schools. [Internet]. [cited  
344 2013 Jul 23]. Available from: [www.aidshealth.org/archives/16792](http://www.aidshealth.org/archives/16792)
- 345 5. Jimoh AAG. The scourge of AIDS in the new millenium- socio- economic implications in an emerging  
346 economy. Which way out? *Hum Resour J*. 2003;11(14):16–9.
- 347 6. Boswell D, Baggaly R for FHI (FHI). Voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) and young people; a  
348 summary overview. Arlington, USA; 4-19 p.
- 349 7. Family Health International (FHI), YouthNet USA for ID (USAID). HIV Counseling and testing for  
350 youths; A manual for providers. USA; 2005. 9-110 p.
- 351 8. Sloane BC, Zimmer CG. The power of peer health education. *J Am Coll Heal*. 1993;41(6):241–4.
- 352 9. Fernández MAL, Delchevalerie P, Van Herp M, Bray G, Greenway F, Molitch M, et al. Accuracy of  
353 MUAC in the detection of severe wasting with the new WHO growth standards. *Pediatrics*. American  
354 Academy of Pediatrics; 2010;126(1):e195-201.
- 355 10. Fawole IO, Asuzu MC, Oduntan SO, Brieger WR. A school-based AIDS education programme for  
356 secondary school students in Nigeria: a review of effectiveness. *Health Educ Res*. 1999;14(5):675–83.
- 357 11. United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF). Prevention of infection among adolescent and young  
358 person. Child info. monitoring; the situation of children and mother. [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2013 Jan  
359 16]. Available from: [www.childinfo.org/hiv\\_aids.html](http://www.childinfo.org/hiv_aids.html)
- 360 12. National Population Commission. NIGERIA DEMOGRAPHIC AND HEALTH SURVEY 2013  
361 National Population Commission Federal Republic of Nigeria. Abuja Nigeria; 2014.
- 362 13. Oyo-Ita AE, Ikpeme BM, Etokidem AJ, Offor JB, Okokon EO, Etuk SJ. Knowledge of HIV/AIDS  
363 among secondary school adolescents in calabar Nigeria. *Ann Afri Med*. 2005;4(1):2–3.
- 364 14. World Health Organisation (WHO)/The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS  
365 (UNAIDS)/United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) (2011). Global HIV/AIDS response: epidemic  
366 update and health sector progress towards universal access, progress report. 2011.
- 367 15. Wang LY, Burstein GR, Cohen DA. An economic evaluation of a school-based sexually transmitted  
368 disease screening program. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2002;29(12):737–45.
- 369 16. Mgosha CP, Kweka EJ, Mahande AM, Barongo LR, Shekalaghe S, Nkya HM, et al. Evaluation of  
370 uptake and attitude to voluntary counseling and testing among health care professional students in  
371 Kilimanjaro region, Tanzania. *BMC Public Health*. BioMed Central; 2009 Jan;9(128):1–9.
- 372 17. Tewabe T, Destaw B, Admassu M, Abera B. Assessment of factors associated with voluntary  
373 counseling and testing uptake among students in Bahir Dar University: A case control study. *Ethiop J*  
374 *Heal Dev*. 2012;26(1):16–21.
- 375 18. I Chose Life (ICL). The impact of peer education on HIV prevention among Kenyatta University  
376 Students [Internet]. Nairobi, Kenya; 2006 [cited 2013 Jul 29]. Available from: [www.fhi360.org/youth-](http://www.fhi360.org/youth-sexual-reproductive-health-interventions-kenya.pdf)  
377 [sexual-reproductive-health-interventions-kenya.pdf](http://www.fhi360.org/youth-sexual-reproductive-health-interventions-kenya.pdf)
- 378 19. Azuogu B, Ogbonnaya L, Alo C. HIV voluntary counseling and testing practices among military  
379 personnel and civilian residents in a military cantonment in southeastern Nigeria. *HIV/AIDS- reseach*  
380 *Palliat care*. 2011;3(8):107–9.
- 381 20. Onwasigwe C. Principles & Methods of Epidemiology. 2nd Editio. Enugu: EL’Demak; 2010. 147-148,  
382 339-340. p.
- 383 21. Araoye MO. Research methodology with statistics for health and social sciences. 2nd ed. Ilorin:  
384 Nathadex Publisher; 2004. 126-127 p.
- 385 22. Family Health International (FHI). Family Health International (FHI) training of trainers Manual. From  
386 theory to practice in peer education. 3-12 p.
- 387 23. Family Health International (FHI). Family Health International (FHI) training of trainers Manual. A  
388 sample of peer education session on HIV/AIDS. 127-132 p.
- 389 24. FMOH. Federal Republic of Nigeria National Guidelines for HIV/AIDS Voluntary counselling &  
390 Testing. Abuja, Nigeria; 2011. 220-240 p.
- 391 25. (AIS). AI survey. Basic documentation introduction to the AIS [Internet]. [cited 2014 Feb 15].  
392 Available from: [www.measurehs.com/pubs/pdf/AIS/HIV\\_AIDS\\_indicators.pdf](http://www.measurehs.com/pubs/pdf/AIS/HIV_AIDS_indicators.pdf)
- 393 26. Polar Engineering and Consulting. IBM SPSS 21.0 for Window. Statistical package for social scientists  
394 incorporated, Version 21. 2003.
- 395 27. Bamidele JO, Abodunrin OL, Adebimpe WO. Sexual behavior and risk of HIV/AIDS among  
396 adolescents in public secondary schools in Osogbo, Osun State, Nigeria. *Int J Adolesc Med Health*.  
397 2009 Jan;21(3):387–94.
- 398 28. Utoo PM , Ogbonna C, Zoakah AI AM. Outcome of health education on HIV/AIDS knowledge and  
399 mobile VCT uptake among students of a tertiary institution in Gindiri, north-central, Nigeria. *Journal of*  
400 *Community Medicine & Primary Health Care*. J Community Med Prim Heal Care. 2010;22(1&2):33–  
401 40.
- 402 29. Gatta AA, Thupayagale-Tshweneagae G. Knowledge of, and attitudes towards, Voluntary HIV

- 403 Counselling and Testing services amongst adolescent high school students in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.  
404 Curationis. 2012;35(1):203–8.
- 405 30. Sherr L, Lopman B, Kakowa M, Dube S, Chawira G, Nyamukapa C, et al. Voluntary counselling and  
406 testing: uptake, impact on sexual behaviour, and HIV incidence in a rural Zimbabwean cohort. AIDS.  
407 2007;21(7):851–60.
- 408 31. Riikka P OK. Adolescents' Knowledge and attitudes concerning HIV Infection and HIV Infected  
409 persons: how a survey and FGD are suited for researching adolescents' HIV/AIDS knowledge and  
410 attitudes. Health Education Research. Theory Pract. 1999;14(4):473–84.
- 411 32. Kwaku OA. HIV/AIDS knowledge and uptake of HIV counselling and testing among undergraduate  
412 private university students in Accra, Ghana. Reprod Heal J. 2013;10(17):103–22.
- 413 33. National Population Commission (NPC) [Nigeria] and ICF Macro. Nigeria Demographic and Health  
414 Survey. Abuja, Nigeria; 2013.
- 415 34. Haddison EC, Nguéack-Tsagué G, Noubom M, Mbatcham W, Ndumbe PM, Mbopi-Kéou F-X.  
416 Voluntary counseling and testing for HIV among high school students in the Tiko health district,  
417 Cameroon. Pan Afr Med J. 2012;13(1):18–20.
- 418 35. Omary S. Barriers and attitudes towards HIV Voluntary Counselling and Testing (VCT) among  
419 Secondary School Pupils of Sengerema in Mwanza. Dar Es Salaam Med Students' J. Tanzania Medical  
420 Students' Association (TAMSA); 2010 Mar 8;15(1):20–3.
- 421 36. Yahaya L, Jimoh A, Balogun O. Factors Hindering Acceptance of HIV/AIDS Voluntary Counseling and  
422 Testing (VCT) among Youth in Kwara State, Nigeria. Afr J Reprod Health. Women's Health and  
423 Action Research Center; 2014;14(3):159–64.