



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International STD Research &amp; Reviews</a>                                |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_I-SRR_44713  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Hepatitis B in Pregnancy: Prevalence and Correlates in the South-West Region; Cameroon. |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)  |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>Manuscript seems interesting. Authors should consider the following corrections:<br/>           Modify title to: <b>A Retrospective study of HBsAg in Pregnancy: Prevalence and Correlates in the South West Region of Cameroon.</b><br/> <b>Abstract</b><br/>           Line 10-11. Use modified title.<br/>           Line 12. This was a “retrospective study”.<br/>           Line 19. Include the prevalence rates of age group and multiparous women.<br/>           Line 23. Reframe grammar in the starting sentence.<br/> <b>Keywords:</b> Add Retrospective study to list of keywords.<br/> <b>Introduction</b><br/>           Check gross grammatical and syntax errors.<br/>           Line 46-48. Use modified title.<br/> <b>Materials and Methods</b><br/>           Study area missing?<br/>           Use primary and secondary healthcare centres rather than levels.<br/>           Line 77 should be transferred to Line 63.<br/>           State the total sample size used for the study.<br/> <b>Results</b><br/>           Include No. Examined in Table 3 and 4.<br/>           Odd ratios cannot be calculated for risk factors with more than 2 parameters. Check odd ratios.<br/> <br/> <b>Discussion</b><br/>           All results were not discussed here. It should be done sequentially as results were presented, relating it to previous and similar studies and justifying its outcomes.</p> | <p>Dear reviewer, thanks for your contributions.</p> <p>Abstract<br/>           Line 10-11. Use modified title. (Modified)<br/>           Line 12. This was a “retrospective study”.(modified)<br/>           Line 19. Include the prevalence rates of age group and multiparous women. (Included)<br/>           Line 23. Reframe grammar in the starting sentence(edited)<br/>           Keywords: Add Retrospective study to list of keywords. (added)<br/>           Introduction<br/>           Check gross grammatical and syntax errors. (checked)<br/>           Line 46-48. Use modified title. (modified)<br/>           Materials and Methods<br/>           Study area missing? (inserted)<br/>           Use primary and secondary healthcare centres rather than levels. (modifief)<br/>           Line 77 should be transferred to Line 63. (done)<br/>           State the total sample size used for the study. (stated)<br/>           Results<br/>           Include No. Examined in Table 3 and 4. (included)<br/>           Odd ratios cannot be calculated for risk factors with more than 2 parameters.<br/>           Check odd ratios. (checked)<br/> <br/>           Discussion (Edited)</p> |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | References should be based on Journal guideline. Add more recent references.  | References edited based on journal guidelines  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | Authors should show clearly that study was retrospective and not prospective.   | This has been done (study design incorporated in the title, abstract, key words and text)  |



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

|   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>