



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_44712
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Associated with Early and Late Initiation of ART in HIV Infected Pregnant Women in the South West Region, Cameroon.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>RESULTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Table 1 should precede section 3.3. as well, the title of table 1 should be revised since the current title does not correspond with presented data; in the table we find information on early and late initiation - Line 182: health facility-related - Line 183: state-owned <p>DISCUSSION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Line 210: study - Line 216: text should be correctly referenced by including a number - Line 266: state-owned <p>REFERENCES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To check ref. 19 for error in date. 	<p>DEAR REVIEWER, THANKS FOR YOUR INPUT!</p> <p>RESULTS</p> <p>NOTED AND CORRECTED</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Line 182: EDITED - Line 183: EDITED <p>DISCUSSION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Line 210: MODIFIED - Line 216: DONE - Line 266: MODIFIED <p>REFERENCES</p> <p>Reference 19 checked and corrected</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Authors demonstrate a good mastery of language, but need a final check for minor errors.	Dear review thanks for your kind words! Minor errors have been checked and corrected
Optional/General comments	It's a well-designed and-executed work, with clarity in the presentations. It should be published upon revision of highlighted sections.	GRATEFUL!!



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	