

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_36756
Title of the Manuscript:	SEXUAL PRACTICES OF FEMALE SEX WORKERS IN IBADAN, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 Line 16-18 recast this sentence Line 31: Include HIV to the AIDS. Let it be "HIV/AIDS". Line 38: CDC should be in full as it's the first time it is being used in the text. Line 86: Give a reference for this formula. Line 121&1411: include the version of SPSS software and reference it. Line 127: Health related "Fields" or "disciplines" Line 144: Ethical consideration. Was the research sanctioned by an Ethic Review Board?. If yes make a statement about that. Table 1: On the Frequency column indicate whether the figures in brackets are % frequencies. Also, these abbreviations " OND/NCE \$ HND" should be written in full for universal comprehension. Fig 1: has no key Fig 2: label both axis and make a key. Do this for all the other figures. Line 165: rephrase the title of fig 2. Table 2: Review table to make sure questions are distinguished from answers. Line 185: Rephrase caption. Line 185: Rephrase caption. Line 189-190: With 50.2%, it is safe to say "half of the respondents". Line 193: Table 3 Rephrase caption. eg. "Sexual practices of respondents". Line 193: Table 3 Rephrase caption. eg. "Sexual practices of respondents". Line 204-202: Are the authors implying that the non use of condoms by these respondents was because they were aware of the risk or were not aware of the risk of HIV transmission. They should take a stand depending on the objectives of the study. Line 204-206: This statement is not clear. Line 245: Revise all References to conform to the Vancouver style 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Author should be more careful with the English grammar; as this will surely influence the readership. I suggest the authors seek a third party to read and make corrections that will make the article more robust.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Robert Leku Tekoh
	Department of Microbiology and Parasitology, University of Buea, Cameroon
Department, University & Country	And
	Regional Hospital Limbe HIV Treatment Center, S.W. Region, Cameroon

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write