



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_35382
Title of the Manuscript:	A cost-effectiveness study of the quadrivalent HPV6/11/16/18 vaccination in a two-dose scheme in girls of 9-11 years old compared to bivalent HPV 16/18 vaccination in Ecuador and challenges for public health and screening
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Improved English language. For example, DALYS is used without definition, STD, 2vHPV and 4vHPV could be used consistently throughout the text, but are not.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	2) There should be an explanation as to why the analysis was done for the 4vHPV not the 9vHPV. Is continued 4vHPV availability assured (in some places, 9vHPV is available, but 4vHPV is not)?	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oroma Nwanodi
Department, University & Country	Department of Interdisciplinary Health Studies, A. T. Still University Arizona School of Health Sciences, Mesa, USA