



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_36756
Title of the Manuscript:	SEXUAL PRACTICES OF FEMALE SEX WORKERS IN IBADAN, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract Line 11, the sampling technique applied should be better stated; Line 16, punctuation mark</p> <p>Introduction Line 40, spelling error 'practise' Line 42, high risk sex should first be defined and statement rephrased Line 59 'declining' should be replaced with 'decline'</p> <p>Methodology Line 100, should be rephrased, 'were used' should be removed. Lines 145-151, was ethical clearance obtained for the study?</p> <p>Result Line 154 should be rephrased, the word 'given' should be replaced Line 173-174, author should rephrase statement and replace 'pregnancy prevention' Line 177, author should define 'self-protection' it is unclear</p> <p>Discussion Lines 204-206; what are the possible reasons for the finding that was stated?</p>	Ok
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		