

# **Original Research Article**

## **Vulnerability and Gonorrhea: A Qualitative Study of Black Women in South Africa**

### **Abstract**

**Background:** Gonorrhea is becoming a health concern globally due to its susceptibility to antiresistance of antibiotics ~~microbial resistance~~, and this is a concern particularly for Black women in South Africa. Vulnerability among Black women leads to unsafe sexual practices and this qualitative study explores the relationship between vulnerability and risk of gonorrhea.

**Methods:** To understand this relationship, participants were interviewed using an in-depth questionnaire at Lovelife, a local non-governmental organization in the Langa township of Cape Town, South Africa from October 2014-December 2014. Interviews were conducted using the information-motivation-behavioral skills conceptual framework and analyzed using thematic coding and triangulated through member-checking.

**Results:** Vulnerability affected the women's motivation to change at-risk behavior because the control was out of her hands. Of the 12 respondents, 92% were unemployed and dependent on her partner and his family (in some circumstances), which lowered their sexual power and ability to make decisions about sexual behavior that led them to at least one gonorrhea infection.

**Conclusion:** The findings from this study are of great social influence globally because regardless of age, social economic status or educational level, women feel a need to discuss behavior in a medium that is not judgmental or instructive, but one that fosters openness and support.

## 23 Vulnerability and Gonorrhea: A Qualitative Study of Black Women in South Africa

### 24 Introduction

25 Gonorrhea incidence rates in South Africa (SA) are among the highest globally (Titus,  
26 2011). Coinfection with HIV is currently a concern with distribution of gonorrhea among  
27 teenagers and young adults whom are at the highest risk of infection. Young women in South  
28 Africa are at greatest risk of being infected with HIV with the prevalence in the age group 15  
29 to 24 being 16.9% in women ~~versus~~ and 4.4% in men in 2005 (Muula, 2008). Gonorrhea is  
30 the second most common notifiable disease in the United States with 333,004 cases reported  
31 in 2013 (CDC, 2013). The rates of gonorrhea continued to be highest among African  
32 Americans in 2013 with 426.6 cases per 100,000 occurring 12.4 times greater than the rate  
33 among whites with 34.5 cases per 100,000 (CDC, 2013). African American women aged 20 to  
34 24 disproportionately had the highest gonorrhea rate of any ethnicity group of 1,949.1 per  
35 100,000, followed by 15 to 19-year-old African American women of 1,768.5 and 20 to 24-  
36 year-old black men of 1,734.5 per 100,000 (CDC, 2013). The WHO estimates that the total  
37 number of new cases of STIs in the African Region was 93 million and among that number,  
38 there were 21 million cases of *N. gonorrhoeae* (WHO, 2008). According to a study based in  
39 Ghana, gonorrhea is one of the most prevalent STIs worldwide, with a major percentage  
40 found in developing countries (Duplessis et al., 2015). There was variation of STI prevalence  
41 among African countries and targeted populations in studies of adults presenting with STI  
42 symptoms with a gonorrhea diagnosis of 0.4% in Congo, 5.7% in Benin, 8.4% in Tanzania,  
43 17.1% in Malawi, and 1.4% in Zambia (Duplessis, 2015, p. 20).

44 Gonorrhea is becoming a health concern globally due to its susceptibility to  
45 ~~antibiotic antimicrobial~~ resistance; and this is a concern particularly for Black women in South  
46 Africa. In the recent years, antibiotic resistance has been found in many countries, such as  
47 Norway, England, Austria, France, Canada, Vietnam, England, Wales, and Sweden with 31%

48 | having decreased susceptibility to treatment (Duplessis et al., 2015). Vulnerability refers to  
49 | the individual factors that increase risk of HIV/STI infection (Lamptey, 2002). The  
50 | vulnerability paradigm explains that women's susceptibility to HIV is because of biological  
51 | differences versus ~~with~~ men, reduced sexual autonomy, and men's sexual power and privilege  
52 | (Higgins et al., 2011).

53 | UNAIDS considers that vulnerability includes factors outside the control of the  
54 | individual, which reduces the ability of individuals and communities to avoid the risk of  
55 | HIV/STIs (Nzewi, 2009). Poverty is a characteristic that increases vulnerability of women  
56 | with unsafe sexual practices because of lack of knowledge, lack of access to protection, and  
57 | the inability to negotiate condom use (Booyesen & Summerton, 2002). Some of the individual  
58 | factors include unemployment, illiteracy, and gender inequality. These factors can also be  
59 | characterized as social, but in the context of this psychosocial study determinant factor, they  
60 | will be classified as individual susceptibilities. Vulnerability has been studied in various  
61 | populations around the world and although Black women in South Africa are affected the  
62 | same way in regards to disease burden, this study used qualitative methodology to get a  
63 | deeper understanding of how vulnerability affected risk of gonorrhea.

64 | The main objective of ~~in~~ the study was "How does a woman's sense of vulnerability  
65 | play a part in risk of gonorrhea among Black South African women?" Vulnerable persons  
66 | in many countries can include adolescent girls and women. If any factors come into play,  
67 | such as illiterate women with limited skills, few job opportunities, and limited access to  
68 | health information and services, are more likely than other women to engage in unprotected  
69 | sex for money increasing their vulnerability and risk of infection (Lamptey, 2002). Women  
70 | are also considered vulnerable to rape and violence in their relationships and tend to have  
71 | limited control over their sexual relationships (Johnson & Budlender, 2002). Promiscuity is  
72 | also a risk factor found in literature and women are put at risk because of whom they have

73 sex with rather than how many people they have sex with (Johnson & Budlender, 2002).  
74 Interventions that address vulnerability to create economic opportunities for those at most  
75 risk, can decrease vulnerability and risk of infection among the most affected groups.

## 76 **Methodology**

### 77 *Study Setting and Participants*

78 The research was undertaken in a government-funded clinic in the Langa Township  
79 located in the metropolitan area of Cape Town, South Africa. Townships in South Africa are  
80 historically predominately Black African with a majority Xhosa in traditional ethnicity and  
81 language. In Langa, Black Africans make up 99.5% of the population, unemployment is  
82 40.56%, and females make up 50.42% of the population according to the 2011~~04~~ Cape Town  
83 Census. Out of the ~~female~~ population in Langa, 2.2% have no schooling, 4% have completed  
84 primary, 45% have some secondary education, and ~~33.40~~% have a Grade 12 education (Cape  
85 Town Census, 2011). With females as the predominate gender in Cape Town, the probability  
86 of reaching study participants was greater. One of the main reasons for the choice to study in  
87 this particular area was because these populations have high incidences of HIV and STI  
88 among Black females.

89 Many of the local residents use government-funded public health clinics because of  
90 easy accessibility, free services and most residents do not have national health insurance. The  
91 clinic has trauma services, mental health services, a pharmacy, obstetrics, a HIV/AIDS and  
92 STI unit, and psychiatric services, among others, making them full-service health facilities.  
93 The LoveLife organization is a non-profit group that caters to young adults and  
94 comprehensive sexual preventive services. LoveLife is very visible in the local area and  
95 works with the local schools to deliver education about how to practice safe sex and other  
96 sexual preventive methods to curb the high rate of HIV/STIs in the area.

To be eligible for the study, participants had to be a Black female, aged 18 to 35 years old, read and speak at least intermediate English. All correspondence was translated in Xhosa to increase the chances of greater participation. Each participant would have had at minimum one sexual experience and at least one positive test for gonorrhea in the past 2 years. The goal was to recruit as many participants who had received a positive test for gonorrhea within the past 2 years with a goal of no less than 10 participants. Ten was the minimum target because in a review of prevalence studies within this geographic area and population, it was not difficult to recruit participants. Although this study had a small sample of 12 participants, this is normal in qualitative studies to allow the time for the researcher to establish trust with each participant and dig deeply into their thought processes and feelings. In order to recruit as many participants as possible to give a detailed understanding of the psychosocial effects of the women affected by gonorrhea, I asked LoveLife to assist in passing out flyers for participant recruitment. Walden's IRB board recommended this way of recruitment as opposed to clinic nurses and doctors asking participants directly to avoid participants being coerced into being in the study.

Ethical considerations were maintained throughout the entire study and IRB approval as part of the research protocol. The IRB approval number was 09-05-14-0132506. The participants' identity and research responses were kept confidential, and risks and benefits were expressed to each participant prior to data collection.

LoveLife, as the community partner, allowed the use of their volunteers (GroundBreakers) for translation and assistance with distributing study participant recruitment flyers as well as their LoveLife facility in Langa to host the interviews in a confidential area (the boardroom).

The Walden University Institutional Board (IRB) during the application process felt that the focus groups initially proposed would not be conducive to participants sharing sensitive and confidential information, so the accepted IRB application called for only one-on-one

interviews. The IRB also asked that consideration for possible translations be a part of the data collection in the case that a person who wanted to be a part of the study but could not speak English fluently or was not confident in the language could not be excluded due to a language barrier, which was satisfied through LoveLife that had translators available for my use. There were approximately four participants who asked for a translator to be part of the interview. Before the interview began, I went over the Informed Consent form and received consent from each participant.

Because of the number of studies that have been done in the clinics with HIV, the women are aware of how studies are conducted. Compensation of R50 grocery store vouchers were given to participants for volunteering their time to participating in the study. Data collection included individual one-on-one in-depth interviews.

### *Measures*

The outcome variable *Vulnerability* was created using three sets of questions:

- a. Why would you refrain from sexual contact if you or your partner had gonorrhea?
- b. How confident are you with using condoms and are you able to negotiate with your partner to use condoms if you are not in a monogamous relationship?
- c. How confident are you to refuse sexual intercourse with your partner if they choose not to use a condom?

The participant's respondents were then coded with the following themes poverty, basic needs, sexual power, decision making, and violence in the relationship.

### *Data Analysis*

Data analysis began with transcription of the focus groups and hand-coding of themes found from the one-on-one interviews with study participants. The interviews were

transcribed and hand-coded on a line by line basis. From this process, descriptive themes were then deciphered from the variables outlined with the psychosocial variables previously discussed. There was no need to use a qualitative software package because the themes and codes could be deciphered from hand-coding. The use of methodological triangulation to establish credibility and dependability was established in the data collection and analysis stages, respectively, as participants were given a questionnaire prior to the interview to gather demographic information. When the research questions were given in the individual interview, more probing questions were asked for clarification if more analysis was needed from the participant responses. Member checking occurred at the completion of the interview through replaying the audio-tape to determine if the responses were accurate and if they reflected the true outcomes.

## **Results and Discussion**

### *Demographics*

**Table 1** presents the demographic characteristics of the participants. The research participants (Table 1), 92% of the women were unemployed, 58% were high school graduates (25% had less than a grade 12 education, and 17% were university students). All of the participants considered themselves single, but 67% were in a relationship, and 33% were single, not in a relationship. The average age of the study participants was 21.7 years old.

### *Demographic Characteristics of the Study Sample*

Measure	Total Sample (N=12)
Age (years, average)	21.7
Unemployed (yes)	92%
High School education completed	75%
Single (Not in a current relationship)	33%

170  
171           The desire to change at-risk behavior in order to prevent STI transmission and  
172 prevention is dependent on the individual motivation to make said changes. The majority of  
173 women in the study were adamant that after having a positive gonorrhea diagnosis, they are  
174 fully confident and motivated to practice safer sex. Vulnerability affected the women's  
175 motivation to change at-risk behavior because the control was out of their hands. The  
176 overwhelming majority, 92% of women, were unemployed and dependent on their partner  
177 and his family (in some circumstances), which lowered their sexual power and ability to  
178 make decisions about sexual behavior. About half of the women continue to stay in abusive  
179 relationships with the partner who gave them the disease. For some of the women, the partner  
180 never received treatment due to lack of believing that he was infected which makes for the  
181 answering of particular questions in the interview related to this determinant difficult to  
182 decipher among those women. Women are put at risk because of whom they have sex with  
183 (as found with the majority of women) rather than how many people they have sex with  
184 although in a couple of cases with the participants, affection and self-gratification was sought  
185 by casual encounters. This analysis solely focuses on vulnerability and was part of a larger  
186 study, thus the small number of participant responses and quotes from participants #8 and #9,  
187 respectively.

188           Vulnerability focuses on the individual factors that increase the risk of HIV/STI  
189 infection that are out of the control of the individual. Several of these factors came out in the  
190 interviews including unemployment and gender inequality. The majority of women in the  
191 study stated that they do not work and rely on family and boyfriends for basic needs that at  
192 times results to violence in relationships with limited control in the relationship.

193           Participant #8:



194 For most of them [women that stay in violent relationships], it's because they are  
195 dependent on the guy--financially and emotionally. For them, they come from a  
196 broken home so they look at the boyfriend as a refuge because when you go home,  
197 there is no food, mom is drunk and dad is drunk; no one has their story on. You go to  
198 your boyfriend's house and then everyone welcomes you there with warm hands, you  
199 can sleep there, they buy you clothes, everything. Behind closed doors, he beats you  
200 up-blue eyes. The mom wouldn't be concerned and would ask about the blue eye, but  
201 once you smile, they want to shower you with gifts. So that you forget the abusive  
202 relationship so I think [they stay] because of broken families and depending on the  
203 person.

204 Having multiple partners was found to be a factor in the data collection in the form of  
205 women seeking out affection and self-gratification from someone other than their main  
206 boyfriend.

207 Participant #9:

208 No, I didn't know [who gave her gonorrhea]. There was one time I did have a risky  
209 situation. So, it happened then, so I wasn't sure. I thought he [the boyfriend] would  
210 say it was from you, you came to me with this thing. But I had to speak to him about  
211 it to go to the clinic. When the time came to have sex, I couldn't so I had to be open  
212 why. But I didn't tell him about the risky decision I had on the side. [Tell me more  
213 about the risky decision you had on the side] It was a guy I was chatting with and then  
214 the feelings developed and went to another level but after we did that, I just saw that it  
215 was wrong. I was flattered with the words he was saying, and then I did that [had  
216 unprotected sex].

The research question asked: “How does a woman’s sense of vulnerability play a part in risk of gonorrhea among Black South African women?” The answer is that vulnerability is an important psychosocial factor to predetermine among high risk groups because it lowers the ability to make safe choices in relationships or home dynamics that contribute to risk of disease. A key finding in this study was that the motivation to change risky behaviors is affected by the women’s sense of vulnerability to how much control she has in her relationship.

The research addressed social and structural barriers that increase vulnerability to STI infection—two of the key strategic objectives for the South African National AIDS Council’s National Strategic Plan--is critical to decreasing sexual disease epidemics that affect the country, in particular impoverished areas that have limited basic resources.

#### **Implications for Practice and/or Policy**

Recommendations for further research should include a wide vast of women in surrounding townships in Cape Town inclusive of Khayelitsha (one of the largest townships in Cape Town) and Nyanga, and also townships within the greater Johannesburg area. Because of the interest of the women to “tell their story” and to have a say about their life, concerns, and behaviors, this study could easily expand to not only South Africa, but to all parts of the world. A comparison study of Black women in South Africa with Black women in populated areas in the United States could really give salient information about the information, motivation, and behaviors of sexually vulnerable women in regards to relationships and relevant target focused support interventions could be established all over the world.

The effectiveness of the targeted interventions could have a significant impact on the disproportionate incidence and prevalence of HIV/STIs among Black women globally. The

241 interventions have to allow the participants to speak freely about their vulnerabilities and  
242 influence of peer and family pressures on sexual behavior, and lack of economic and social  
243 support in their understanding of sexual behavior information and knowledge to actually  
244 make a difference in consistent practices of preventive sexual behaviors. Individual  
245 interviews is ideal to gather information with someone experienced and understanding of  
246 impoverished communities to incite real and authentic conversations among the participant  
247 group in regards to personal conversations about sexual behavior.

248         The potential impact of this study for positive social change at the individual level is  
249 the confidence and sexual power that a vulnerable woman can develop. Women's  
250 understanding of the importance in maintaining confidence (information and motivation) in  
251 providing and using contraception whether in a monogamous or casual relationship is  
252 imperative in reducing the burden of disease among this population.

253         Families have to have a significant change in conversation and dynamic in order for a  
254 woman to feel secure enough to trust those around them to speak up and not hide concerns  
255 when they feel a sense of vulnerability to their sexual behaviors and relationships.

256         Having knowledge of the impact of education and economic factors on women of  
257 reproductive age can be resourceful in establishing job creation and awareness of the  
258 importance of education to extend options and form a way out of impoverished households  
259 and abusive relationships that can lead to high risk and burden of disease that can be  
260 addressed at the societal and policy levels, respectively. Most of the stakeholders and  
261 advocates of social change in regards to HIV/STIs affecting vulnerable populations found in  
262 townships and in rural areas of South Africa link together with other human rights  
263 organizations (i.e. Treatment Action Campaign (TAC)), to spark movements of change, but

are currently under sourced and underfunded. If this continues to occur, health challenges in communities in most need will continue to be disenfranchised and under-represented.

The main recommendation for practice in a community such as Langa is that it is imperative to first get a sense of the dynamics of the community that is involved in the research. This allows dialogue to maintain consistency and also limit risks associated with studying a vulnerable population. Also, development of relationships by the researcher with the community partner assisting in the research from the executive level to the entry level is crucial in maintaining integrity and support throughout the data collection and data analysis stages of the research. At the end of the research, a presentation given by the researcher to the stakeholders in the community—inclusive of the community partner and its' stakeholders allows those involved and even those not directly involved to understand that they were a significant partner in the outcomes of the research done in their community.

## **Conclusion**

This study focused on the attitudes and behaviors of Black women in the township of Langa in regards to their understanding of the variables that impacted their diagnosis of the STI gonorrhea. The literature stated that this population was most at risk for HIV/STIs and this research has showed that more work needs to be done to consider the individual components of sexual behavior among this population, partnership dynamics, and social/environmental influence. Although preventive methods have been implemented by NGOs like LoveLife, inclusive of their local establishments and clinics, more continuous work is needed to keep the at risk group informed about their risk. The findings from this study can be of great social influence globally because regardless of age, SES or educational level, women feel a need to discuss behavior in a medium that is not judgmental or instructive, but one that fosters openness and support. Quantitative follow-up studies should be conducted to get access to the quantifiable psychosocial determinants of a larger

population of women in order to tailor support groups and workshops to each particular variable to impact this sentinel group.

## References

- Booyesen, F. R., & Summerton, J. (2002). Poverty, risky sexual behaviour, and vulnerability to HIV infection: Evidence from South Africa. *Journal of Health Population Nutrition*, 20(4), 285-288.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2013). 2013 STD surveillance gonorrhea. Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/std/stats13/gonorrhea.htm>
- City of Cape Town<sup>4</sup> (2001). Population census. Retrieved from [https://www.capetown.gov.za/en/stats/2011CensusSuburbs/2011\\_Census\\_CT\\_Suburb\\_Langa\\_Profile.pdf](https://www.capetown.gov.za/en/stats/2011CensusSuburbs/2011_Census_CT_Suburb_Langa_Profile.pdf)
- Duplessis, C., Puplampu, N., Nyarko, E., Carroll, J., Dela, H., Mensah, A., Amponsah, A., Sanchez, J. (2015). Gonorrhea surveillance in Ghana, Africa. *Military Medicine*, 180(1), 17-22. doi: 10.7205/MILMED-D-13-00418
- Higgins, J. A., Hoffman, S., & Dworkin, S. L. (2011). Rethinking gender, heterosexual men, and women's vulnerability to HIV/AIDS. *American Journal of Public Health*, 101(4), 585.
- Johnson, L., & Budlender, D. (2002). HIV risk factors: A review of the demographic, socio-economic, biomedical and behavioural determinants of HIV prevalence in South Africa. *Care Monograph*, 8, 1-49.
- Lamprey, P.R. (2002). Reducing heterosexual transmission of HIV in poor countries. *British Medical Journal*, 324(7331), 207-211. doi: 10.1136/bmj.324.7331.207
- Mabey, D. (2010). Epidemiology of STIs: worldwide. *Epidemiology and Sexual Behaviour*, 38(5), 216-219. doi: 10.1383/medc.29.7.3.28391
- Muula, A. S. (2008). HIV infection and AIDS among young women in South Africa.

318 *Croatian Medical Journal*, 49(3), 423-435. doi: 10.3325/cmj.2008.3.423  
 319  
 320 Nzewi, O. (2009). Exploring gender issues and men's vulnerability to HIV/AIDS in Sub-  
 321  
 322 Saharan Africa. Policy Brief 56. Centre for Policy Studies, Johannesburg.  
 323  
 324 Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for thematic synthesis of qualitative research in  
 325  
 326 systematic reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 8(1), 45-55. doi:  
 327  
 328 10.1186/1471-2288-8-45  
 329  
 330 Titus, A. (2011). The paradox of South Africa: how antibiotic resistance fits into the health  
 331  
 332 picture. Retrieved from  
 333 [http://www.cddep.org/blog/posts/paradox\\_south\\_africa\\_how\\_antibiotic\\_resistance\\_fit\\_s\\_health\\_picture#sthash.uXXlfrE9.7s3YbPiO.dpbs](http://www.cddep.org/blog/posts/paradox_south_africa_how_antibiotic_resistance_fit_s_health_picture#sthash.uXXlfrE9.7s3YbPiO.dpbs)  
 334  
 335 World Health Organization. (2008). Global incidence and prevalence of selected curable  
 336  
 337 sexually transmitted infections. Retrieved from  
 338 [http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/75181/1/9789241503839\\_eng.pdf](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/75181/1/9789241503839_eng.pdf)