



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_25517
Title of the Manuscript:	Vulnerability and Gonorrhea: A Qualitative Study of Black Women in South Africa
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Throughout the manuscript, including the abstract there is the pharase "susceptibility to resistance" – one is either susceptible to an antibiotic or resistant to the drug, not to the condition. Also the English syntax is awkwardly worded throughout the manuscript with possibly incorrect words used, e.g. pg 2 line 28 –instead of and, do they mean vs. since the focus is females?? Same is true for line 42-43 pg 2 There needs to be a reference for line 24,pg2. The aspect of vulnerability is nearly universal so how is South Africa different? Or how much of it is the same?</p> <p>The language is poor throughout and the formatting lack of references and use of pt statements without indicating if this is the norm(most women of that demographic saying this or not)</p>	<p>The phrase "susceptibility to resistance" has been changed in the abstract in in the document. It is highlighted in yellow and found on page 1 lines 4 and 5. I have changed the incorreccted word of "and" to "versus" if there was a difference made between men and women.</p> <p>I have addressed the issue of vulnerability and its universality on page 3, lines 60-63.</p> <p>I have found statements where this assumption is made and made it more specific. For example, page 8, lines 190-191.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		