



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_25517
Title of the Manuscript:	Vulnerability and Gonorrhea: A Qualitative Study of Black Women in South Africa
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The work has a relevance in the context of public health and social problem .</p> <p>I believe it is important the number of evaluation of the ethics committee, mainly because the text speaks of a social part related violence women that stay in violent relationships.</p>	<p>This is reflected on pages 5-6, lines 107-109, 110-113, and 117-126.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The number of cases evaluated is small. It would be important to justify and report that it is the beginning of a job and you want to keep for a longer period to verify the applicability and help . Justify the selection of the case 8 and 9 of the study.</p>	<p>This has been corrected and can be found on page 8, lines 183-185.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		