

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	2013_I-SRR_8709
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-proliferative Effect of Lime Juice on the Ovarian Cortex of Adult Wistar Rats (Rattus Novergicus)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



## SDI Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The structural format has to be changed.	
	2. Please change the first sentence in back ground.	
	3. Please add about the biological sources and various species available.	
	4. Please add important chemical constituents and their biological activities with refrences.	
	5. In material and methods please start with collection authentication and processing and then animal grouping.	
	6. Please say on what basis you choose the dose. have u carried out the LD50 OR ED50 studies.	
	7. Phytochemica;l screening must be attached minimum qualitative .	
	8. check the discussion spelling.	
	9. Results and discussion should be separated and more focusive, it should be reformatted as conclusion is unclear, give results in one para and the discuss with more scientific justification.	
	10. Graphical data is missing, tabular representation is unscientific. please add	



#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

### SDI Review Form 1.6

	11. Ethical sanction number should be mentioned.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I felt scientific approach is missing but a good trail and discussion should be short, accurate and sweet. Its difficult and confusing. Please clarify ethical issue, if any	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	M.V.N.I.Chaitanya
Department, University & Country	Phytopharmacy, jss university, India