



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International STD Research &amp; Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_I-SRR_18911</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PREVALENCE OF SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED INFECTIONS (STIs) AMONG UNDERGRADUATES ATTENDING UNIVERSITY HEALTH CARE CENTRE IN BAYELSA STATE, NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Kindly send the manuscript for grammar editing. Some suggestions have been made in the body of the manuscript. The methodology is quite porous. There are too many questions begging for answers. How did you come about the checklist? How was it validated? This study must have a lot of limitation. What are they? Write the recommendations in prose. Table 2 is fundamentally flawed. Table 5 is cumbersome. Could it be summarized? Was ethical approval obtained? How was confidentiality ensured?	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	This is an interesting concept and topic. The issue discussed is quite topical but the manuscript is poorly written, with too many gaps to merit publication in its present form.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Olumide Abiodun</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Department of Community Medicine, Babcock University, Nigeria</i></b>