



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	2014_I-SRR_15371
Title of the Manuscript:	Viral skin infections in adult patients with HIV/AIDS in a tropical rural practice in Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I enjoyed the article, but I want to suggest some changes: 1- The introduction is incomplete; authors must remember that in this section they have to summarize the current knowledge of the subject. 2- In the introduction there is a lack of references the authors need to use to discuss their results. 3- In the discussion authors should emphasise the importance of CD4 count results according to the significance of this values in the AIDS stage. Also in this section there are many paragraphs that could be used for the introduction.	1. References have been provided for statements made in the INTRODUCTION segment of the manuscript. 2. Importance of CD4 count has emphasized in the revised manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	If the authors correct these changes I would like to read the article again to give the mark.	