



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	2014_I-SRR_15371
Title of the Manuscript:	Viral skin infections in adult patients with HIV/AIDS in a tropical rural practice in Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In INTRODUCTION only 2 references given. Is that all?? Where have you incur other data from? Methodology/ Results- should write in past tenses.	1 REFERENCES HAVE BEEN PROVIDED FOR THE STATEMENTS MADE IN THE INTRODUCTION. 2. THE METHODOLOGY/RESULTS HAS BEEN RE WRITTEN IN PAST TENSES.
Optional/General comments	This observational study included large number of patients with HIV/ AIDS. Therefore it is worth reporting.	