



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_45065
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Some Preservatives on Nutritional Quality and Sensory Characteristics of Pickled African Walnut (Tetracarpidium conophorum)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article.

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	would be perfect and ready for publication after the following correction:- 1- Abstract should be written clear from the results. 2- In the method section the author should read the procedure carefully especially protein determination and antinutritional factors. 3- In the result section, the author should rewrite the explanation of his results to be clear and convince 4- There are many English mistakes in this manuscript 5- The concentration of preservatives should be (W/V) because NaCl and citric acid are solids	1. More results from the study have been included in the abstract. 2. The procedure was left as standard methods to reduce the number of pages in compliance with instruction given by another reviewer of this manuscript. 3. The explanation of results obtained has been rewritten to make it clear and convincing. 4. The areas in the manuscript with English mistakes have been corrected. 5. The change has been made. Thanks so much for your wonderful comments. We appreciate.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

