



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_35745
Title of the Manuscript:	IEC (Information Education Communication) module as an effective tool for mitigation of iron deficiency anaemia among rural adolescent girls of Uttarakhand, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The Statistical analysis should be revisited to provide for baseline differences between the experimental and control groups. Comments the baseline statistics and how it must have possibly influenced the outcome statistics should be provided in the text. Elaborate on the descriptive statistics.</li><li>2. You should provide information on the significance of your KAP statistics as it is lacking for all the parameters. Information on how KAP values influennced hemoglobin level should be provided in the text, even where the KAPstatistics is not significant. Elaborate on the study outcome in the discussion. Information on how the independent variables infuenced the outcome of the dependent variable is need.</li><li>3. Only twodistricts in one region of the state participated in the study. You should modify the title and content of the manuscript to reflect more of the region than the state.</li><li>4. You should provide reasons for choosing the experiental and control groups from two different locations instead of doing a random allocation of the participants to either group.</li><li>5. Provide appropriate references for your introductory statements.</li><li>6. Check for grammatical errors throughout the text.</li></ol>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I have attached the text I worked on, with marks to guide you.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Oscar Onyema</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Dept of Surgery and Carter Immunology Center, University of Virginia, USA</i>