



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_43881
Title of the Manuscript:	Fermentation of Cassava Leaves Improves Provitamin A Carotenoid Bioefficacy in Mongolian gerbils (<i>Meriones unguiculatus</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Why is it that the percentage of fermented and non-fermented leaves vary in the diet?	Due to the difference in total β-carotene contents of F and NF leaves (See Table 3 in the paper), the feeds were prepared using F and NF cassava leaves at 3.53 and 4.27% respectively, to provide the daily requirement of 35 nmol VA/g feed for gerbils (see 2.4 section about experimental design)
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		