



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_43454
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROBIAL DIVERSITY AND HEAVY METALS CONCENTRATION OF WOOD SMOKED FISH FROM EDONWHII FISHING SETTLEMENT IN AKWA IBOM STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments (KIM)	1. The methodology is not clear 2. Check the heavy metal procedure 3. Isolation and identification of microbes – need to write clearly 4. Check the results with methodology 5. What are the biochemical test you studied and results of the isolated pathogens 6. How you identify the fungus as the species level - do you have the morphological identification of fungal species, if it is there include in the manuscript 7. Line no 121-Microbial diversity of the fish samples whether it is from fresh or smoked?	1. The methods have been worked on 2. Edited for clarity 3. Edited too 4. Done 5. Mentioned 6. Corrected (most of these issues were raised with previous reviewers and have been sorted) 7. Fresh fishes, stated clearly
Minor REVISION comments	Work was good, but no clarification. Check the manuscript properly especially methodology and result section.	
Optional/General comments	My recommendation is after changing the methodology and results then it was accepted for publication	