



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_43306
Title of the Manuscript:	Sodium and saturated fat levels in meat products in the Netherlands: an evaluation based on label information
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I had just one doubt on tables 4 and 5. For example, on table 5, from 5 roasted meat loaf analysed, only 4 (80%) achieved the reformulation targets in 2015? Is that it? Or 80% refers to the 5 products analysed?	The reviewer checked the data and noticed a small error which has no influence on the results. Not 80%, but 60% of roasted meat products achieved reformulation target in 2015. Changes has been made
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		