



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                          |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>                          |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_EJNFS_42904                                                                           |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Association of maternal macronutrient intake with urogenital infections during pregnancy |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                                                |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <b>Abstract and main body:</b><br>To be in conformity with rules of binomial nomenclature generic and species names of the microbes should in italics.<br><b>Tables:</b><br>Authors should take note of the rules of standard tables, such as maximum of three horizontal lines and absence of vertical lines. Table 1 and 2 need some modification at least by reducing the number of horizontal lines.                                                                                                                                                                      | We changed the font to italics where necessary.<br><br>We have adapted the tables according to the suggestions.                                                               |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | Line 43.....outcomes and due to vertical transmission..... Please revisit the whole sentence.<br>Material and Methods.....should be 'Materials'<br>Line 78.....Bacteria are identified.....please it should be in reported form i.e. Bacteria were identified.....<br>Line 85.....Candida sp. are identified.....please it should be in reported form i.e. Bacteria were identified.....<br><b>Discussion:</b><br>Second and third lines.....urinary infections.....should be urinary tract infections.<br><b>Conclusion:</b><br>Opened with recommendations. Needs recasting | The sentence was rephrased.<br><br>Revised.<br>Revised.<br><br>Revised.<br><br>Revised.<br><br>First sentence in conclusions was rephrased.                                   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Thank you to the reviewer for the valuable feedback.                                                                                                                          |