




SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms EJNFS_39827
Title of the Manuscript:	Detection of Oxytetracycline Residues in Table Eggs in Khartoum State
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Most of the changes suggested have been incorporate satisfactorily except for one or two issues as below: 1. On page 6, the validation data needs to be well stated especially this "All RSD % value for intraday repeatability ranging from 1.40 - 7.40.traeness expressed as relative recovery 73.9 - 92%." This statement probably should have read as "All % relative standard deviations (RSD) values ranged from 1.40 – 7.40. Trueness was expressed as relative recovery ranged from 73.9 – 92 %." 2. Figure 3, the peak area can never be the x axis just as the concentration can never be the y axis. This is against linear regression requirements. The opposite is true and thus the peak area should be the y axis and the concentration as the y axis. When this is done the R2 value will change and probably other	2. Thank you very much for your comment which I benefit a lot. 1. Done 2. concern figure idid the change but fortunately I get the same R2 value and even calculated new samples concentrations was typical as more than 99.9% Do you think there is need to calculate all the values again? 



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

parameters too. If the authors attend to these two issues, then I think the manuscript can be considered for publication.	
---	--