



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_39486
Title of the Manuscript:	Novel Combination contains probiotic bacterial and yeast strains to reduction of Aflatoxin M1 in Milk
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The work is very interesting, but it is poorly written. a review is required throughout the English text and sentence concordances. Authors should be more careful before resubmitting the article to address shortcomings such as formatting and model texts (see Result and Discussion section line 242).</p> <p>Improve the information on Tables. It is necessary do explain that the values are first in concentration.</p> <p>The material and methods section is a bite confuse! I believe that with a review on the text would improve it.</p> <p>Why did you do the derivatization of the mycotoxin??It is really necessary???</p> <p>How was the extraction of the mycotoxin???It is not clear</p> <p>I strongly suggest that you consider to use only removal of AFM1 (%) to express your results. I think that is not necessary to present the values of concentration on each time (that are already described on Tables)</p> <p>Improve the conclusion! It is confuse</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		