



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_EJNFS_37914   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | DETERMINATION OF THE EFFECT OF GUM ARABIC ON BODY WEIGHT AND SOME BIOCHEICAL PARAMETERS ON ALBINO WISTAR RAT |
| Type of the Article      |  |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)  |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>The manuscript presents data referring the parameters of considerable relevance for about lipid profile and glucose uptake. But the use of only 4 rats per group it worries me, because this number of animal it seems to me lot reduced to roll a ANOVA one way.</p> <p>I would like to see the sample calculation, ok?</p> <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b><br/>I missed a protocol ethical committee of approval for use of animal.</p> | <p>Thanks for your wonderful observations.</p> <p>The software used enabled us to do our analysis using four samples per group.</p> <p>This work was done with the ethical approval of the University of Jos Ethical committee's approval<br/>Regards.</p> |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |   |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |   |  |