



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_36276
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Effectiveness of UNICEF Multiple Micronutrient Powder for Foods Fortification on the Reduction of Child stunting rate: a survey in Kisangani, DR Congo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title is too long, need to be revised. 2. There are wide spread grammatical and spelling mistakes through the article i.e., Line no 94-96; 187; 220;223;232; 267-268; 283; 297-298. 3. There is discrepancy on style of citing reference in the text i.e., line no 244 and 250. 4. At the reference section, the authors should not use <i>et al.</i>, until the named authors are more than ten. 5. The study involved infants who are classified as vulnerable group. Therefore, there is need to include the body that approved this study and ethical approval number of the study. 	Revised Revised Revised Revised Added
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abbreviations; mo and NUGAG are not indicated in the abbreviations section. 2. The term UNICEF, is wrongly illustrated at line no 32. 3. The authors should indicate abbreviation in full when first appearance in writing. 	Done Done Done



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<div>4. In the discussion section the authors need describe why the boys child is more affected as compared to the girl child as inferred in this study.</div> <div>5. Line no 226, re-label table 3 as 2.</div> <div>6. Line no 137-140. The fig 1 images are not clear.</div>	<div>Many studies arrive at the same result; the reason may be just physiology</div> <div>Done</div>
Optional/General comments	<div>Adequate nutrition of <5yrs is mandatory for their general growth and brain development. Therefore, well fortified food is necessary at the start of 6th month. This indicates the essence of such kind of studies, hence this manuscript becoming an important suggestion. However, the above comments corrections need to be amended before it consideration for publication in this journal.</div>	