



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_36276
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Effectiveness of UNICEF Multiple Micronutrient Powder for Foods Fortification on the Reduction of Child stunting rate: a survey in Kisangani, DR Congo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract:</p> <p>I. Abstract not supposed to have sub-topic</p> <p>II. Abstract should be in one paragraph</p> <p>III. There will be no abbreviation in the abstract</p> <p>IV. Pls. Indicate what is MMN stand for?</p> <p>V. Explain in more detail on the sampling group (Mangobo (MMN+), Mangobo (MMN-), and Lubunga (MMN-).</p> <p>VI. Full stop supposed to be after citation mark</p> <p>Objective:</p> <p>i. Your study is to compare stunting rates before and after MMNP or to compare those fed with MMNP and those without in one area? Pls. Revise your title accordingly</p> <p>Methodology:</p> <p>i. Method is still very much thesis format, pls. Re-write</p> <p>ii. Pls. Give more details on the sampling group and respondent criteria</p> <p>iii. Pls. Clarify why data was taken after one year of intervention?</p> <p>Table 4 is not necessary because that is not your objective</p> <p>Row 220 and 223 – typo “tableau”</p> <p>Row 240 check the statement “children aged 24-36 years”</p>	<p>Revised</p> <p>Revised</p> <p>Revised in accordance with other reviewers</p> <p>The table 3 cannot be removed as it shows the influence of some factors</p> <p>Corrected</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I believed this article is just a portion of your study, so in order to make it more relevant to the body of the knowledge need more focus	A comprehensive study will be possible for the entire population in the future.