



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_36022
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Gum Arabic as Prebiotics and lactobacillus casei shirota (LcS) as probiotic on Oxidative Stress and Renal function in Adenine-Induced Chronic Renal Failure in Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	→There are serious problems with the use of English, which makes comprehension difficult. Must be checked by an native English speaker. →The authors use indistinctly the word "Gum Arabic" and/or "GA" (also is used for Gum Acacia), please use only the word "GA". →There are singles and doubles spaces in the text (correct as journal format). →The use of lab. animals needs bioethical authorization. →There are serious problems with the mencion/indexing of references in the text also there are problems with reference format. → please refer to the name of Kits and the scientific/biomedical companies (name, city and country) this because the work may be reproducible. →Rewrite the results with correct statistics, It's necessary to explain correctly the significance. → Rewrite the discussion, this part of the manuscript has not pattern or context, its not possible to understand the main idea of the authors. →Index the tables in the text Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? Yes. The lab. Animal bioethical authorization is absent in the manuscript.	→The paper was reviewed by professional native English speaker. →Gum Arabic has been replaced by Gum Acacia and only the word GA has been used through the text. →only singles spaces has been used. → Bioethical authorization has been added. ETHICAL APPROVAL (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE) Procedures involving animals and their care were carried out in accordance with international laws and policies (EEC Council directives 86/609, OJL 358, 1 December, 12, 1987; NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, NIH Publications No. 85-23, 1985), The study was approved by the University Animal Ethical Committee →Statistics were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS soft ware version 17.0), Significance was accepted at $P, < 0.05$. Values were expressed as the Mean $\pm$ SEM → Some parts added to discussion for more illustration. →The tables and figures has been indexed in the text. Animal bioethical authorization has been added.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		