



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_32036
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSENSUS SUMMIT: LIPIDS AND CARDIOVASCULAR HEALTH IN THE NIGERIAN POPULATION
Type of the Article	Grey Literature

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Dear Editor, there may be an ethical issue with this manuscript " CONSENSUS SUMMIT: LIPIDS AND CARDIOVASCULAR HEALTH IN THE NIGERIAN POPULATION". Also, plagiarism is found in the manuscript. Please find my comments below and attached in the message. Is this manuscript written by the Nigerian Heart Foundation Summit held in April 2016 or by an independent individual group of researchers? I am not sure, whether individual group of researchers can publish Summit data? There would definitely be an ethical issue if it is not permitted by the Summit. I noticed that, this recommendation by Summit has already been published and is available online. See the link http://www.nigerianheart.org/images/Consensus%20Statement%20of%20Nigerian%20Heart%20Foundation%20Heart%20Health%20and%20Nutrition%20Summit%20on%20Lipids%20and%20Cardiovascular%20Health%20in%20the%20Nigerian%20Population.pdf	This manuscript is written by the Nigerian Heart Foundation, organizer of the Summit. . The authors are Members of the Nigerian Heart Foundation Expert Committee on NCDs More references have been inserted in the body of the manuscript to cover claims from the literature. Recommendation by the summit has been deleted. It is referenced as cited.
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> <u>al</u> comments		
---	--	--