

#### SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_46231
Title of the Manuscript:	ETHNOBOTANICAL STUDY ON SAND-DUNE BASED MEDICINAL PLANTS AND TRADITIONAL THERAPIES IN COASTAL BENGAL, INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### AL PURBA MEDINIPUR DISTRICT, WEST



## SDI Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agree highlight that part in the many his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul> <li>There are number of grammar, sentence structure mistakes, I have only highlight some mistakes inside the manuscript. It is my recommendation to do proper proofreading. You have taken the photography of the species you have identified. kindly place some important picture of species inside your manuscript.</li> <li>In Table 2: Coastal ethnomedicinal plants of Purba Medinipur district in West Bengal, India. The medicinal uses you have mentioned from the interview but you can verify them from the literature. Kindly explain these uses from the literature as well with citations.</li> <li>In line 418, there are some phyto-therapeutic of medicinal plants available in general. Kindly include inside the discussion and cite them.</li> <li>in line 373-374:Prosopis cineraria (Manikandar et al., 2009; Bithu et al., 2012; Patel et al., 2013) was reported to have an antimicrobial effect. The reference you cites was old and outdated where as i have found latest reference</li> <li>A bioassay-guided fractionation scheme for characterization of new antibacterial compounds from Prosopis cineraria aerial parts "https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4833735/"</li> <li>Similarly there are in many places i have found the same thing inside the discussion. Kindly revist your discussion and include latest reference as well</li> <li>There are some reference missing such as in line 290. Subramanyam et al., 2008; I couldnot find this reference.</li> </ul>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

# eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write



## SDI Review Form 1.6

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

# PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	Kindly include Herbariums Number that were deposited in the herbarium section, Department of Botany, Vidyasagar University inside the table	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Muhammad Shahzad Aslam
Department, University & Country	Xiamen University, Malaysia

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write