



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_46231
Title of the Manuscript:	ETHNOBOTANICAL STUDY ON SAND-DUNE BASED MEDICINAL PLANTS AND TRADITIONAL THERAPIES IN COASTAL PURBA MEDINIPUR DISTRICT, WEST BENGAL, INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>There are number of grammar, sentence structure mistakes, I have only highlight some mistakes inside the manuscript. It is my recommendation to do proper proofreading. You have taken the photography of the species you have identified. kindly place some important picture of species inside your manuscript.</p> <p>In Table 2: Coastal ethnomedicinal plants of Purba Medinipur district in West Bengal, India. The medicinal uses you have mentioned from the interview but you can verify them from the literature. Kindly explain these uses from the literature as well with citations.</p> <p>In line 418, there are some phyto-therapeutic of medicinal plants available in general. Kindly include inside the discussion and cite them.</p> <p>in line 373-374:Prosopis cineraria (Manikandar et al., 2009; Bithu et al., 2012; Patel et al., 2013) was reported to have an antimicrobial effect. The reference you cites was old and outdated where as i have found latest reference</p> <p>A bioassay-guided fractionation scheme for characterization of new antibacterial compounds from Prosopis cineraria aerial parts "https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4833735/"</p> <p>Similarly there are in many places i have found the same thing inside the discussion. Kindly revisit your discussion and include latest reference as well</p> <p>There are some reference missing such as in line 290. Subramanyam et al., 2008; I couldnot find this reference.</p> <p>Kindly check all your reference and follow the format according to the journal as well</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Properly proofread. We inserted the picture of two dominant plant species i.e. <i>Ipomoea pes-caprae</i> (L.) R. Br. and <i>Spinifex littoreus</i> (Burm.f.) Merr. 2. We collected raw data from the informants. After that, for standardization, it is compared with literature as well as proper citations. 3. Same type "phyto-therapeutic of medicinal plant" are already cited. 4. Agreed with reviewer, but we cited only ethnobotanical related citation, although it is quite old. 5. Agreed with reviewer, corrected with latest citations. 6. Sorry, it is spelling mistake (Subramanian et al., 2008). It already inserted in the references. We already followed the journal format.
Minor REVISION comments		Thanks to anonymous reviewer for checking properly.
Optional/General comments		Thanks for proper reviewing. We also agree to abide all regulations and norms of publication process.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>Kindly include Herbariums Number that were deposited in the herbarium section, Department of Botany, Vidyasagar University inside the table</p>	Herbarium number (Voucher Specimen no.) are already included in Table 2 (Column 5).