



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_45717
Title of the Manuscript:	Polyphenol content and antioxidant activity of bark hydroethanolic extract of Piliostigma reticulatum (DC) Hochst and its fractions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There are so many grammatical and spelling errors in this work. Some SI Units were not written properly. Foreexample: "80°" should be written "80°C". See line four under abstract section. "diiferent" should be "different"(line 6 of abstract section). "mL " should be written "ml". "Health" not "heath". I have highlighted most of the errors in red. The author should give this work to somebody that is more proficient in this field to edit for him.	80° is not degree Celcius degrees, it's ethanol content. Other errors are corrected in the text
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Writ-up is poor and not well formatted.	I agree with you, I tried to improve

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	