



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_45230
Title of the Manuscript:	13C NMR ANALYSIS: TERPENOID, STEROIDS AND CAROTENOID FROM DIOSPYROS SOUBREANA (EBENACEAE)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is insufficient evidence to confirm the identity of the compounds. While the 13C NMR spectra are necessary, it is not sufficient. The masses of the compounds that are given do not appear to be experimental measurements. This must be accompanied by experimental MS measurements, preferably high resolution. 2. The authors should show the 13C NMR literature values for each compound alongside the experimental values. 3. The identification of 15-pentadecanolide is tenuous if there is no MS measurement. There is a big overlap in the alkyl signals. For example, one might not be able to distinguish 15-pentadecanolide from 16-hexadecanolide through their 13C NMR. 	<p>In this case, it is well know that about the know structures, it is no necessary to establish the mass analysis once again.</p> <p>I took account all the corrections of the reviewers.</p> <p>I took account all the corrections of the reviewers.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	