Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_EJMP_40565**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Various brewing methods for green coffee Coffea arabica and Coffea canephora (robusta) with effect on Polyphenolic contents and Effect of Brewing time on Phytochemicals, Total Flavonoid and Phenolic Content on Green and Roasted coffee Arabica, Robusta and Monsooned Malabar.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract** The title is too long and it is not understandable. It should be more focused. Green coffee should be written with lowercase throughout the manuscript, as well as the words phenolics, flavonoids, the name of the methods. The abstract should be rewritten with more focused on the obtained results. Material and methods It is hard to follow the research protocol. Try to write more clearly or more schematic. Results Table 1 and figure 2 present the same results. Please choose one. The results from table and figure must not be repeated in text, but their interpretation/significance should be discussed. Same goes for table 2 and figure 4. There are too many photos that are not relevant for the readers. Why were the secondary metabolites determined? I think a table gathering all the results for all tested sample in the case of secondary metabolites would be more appropriate. Figure 11 – Is the calibration curve for flavonoids? If yes, It is not necessary. Figure 12 – Is that the calibration curve for polyphenols? It has a very low regression coefficient and should be repeated. There are too many figures and tables and the results and discussions are not well structured. Conclusion Which is the purpose? To find the best parameters for brewing and obtaining an infusion rich in bioactive compounds? If yes, how ethanol can represent a good solution?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Socaci Sonia***
Department, University & Country	***Faculty of Food Science and Technology, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Romania***