

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_38306
Title of the Manuscript:	Antifungal activity of selected chemical agents against phytopathogenic fungi spores
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment
Compulsory REVISION comments	
	1.Lines 42-44: Use of plant extracts is part of biological control (reformulate by including them in biological control).
	2.Lines 242-245: Please, quote one or more authors (is not from you).
Minor REVISION comments	 3.Lines 265-315: Reformulate all references for harmonization (choose the same model) Pagination: for example 12(5), 490-494 or 1(46): 865-871 Journal names: in italics or not, abbreviate or write in full (choose)
	4. Include perspectives: this study being in vitro, envisioning in vivo studies (on yam samples)
	1.The results of the work are well done. Discussion is short enough.
Optional/General comments	 2.References are more than 10 years old: for study of 2017. Problem is topical, so give recent numbers and dates Introduction: lines 28-29, lines 31-33. Discussion: lines 246 and 252.
	Reflection: synthetic fungicides are not safe for agricultural products and therefore for Human health. So I wonder if nowadays it is good to advise their use?

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer,
	correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
r	

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>PART 2:</u>

		Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus
		his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kouadio Bene
Department, University & Country	Laboratory of Botany, University Felix Houphouët-Boigny, Côte d'Ivoire

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write