SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**European Journal of Medicinal Plants**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_EJMP_32702**
Title of the Manuscript:	**β-sitosterol and its 3-O-glucosid as novel acaricides against Rhipicephalus (B.) annulatus ticks**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The manuscript is very interesting, since it is research about a medicinal plant that could have an negative effect on ticks.** **The manuscript has some major problems (cited below) and requires major revision.** **Title does not reflect the content of the manuscript, add the name of the plant you researched** **A long the text the size and type of the letter is different** **Abstract** **Conclusion – wrong conclusion, you evaluated the in vitro effect of a botanical compound, you did not evaluated its addition into other chemical compounds** **The aim of the study is unclear, sometime is to evaluate a plant, the addition of it in other compounds, just the evaluation of some components of the plant..clarify** **Introduction** **M forsskaoii – write the full name (first time only)** **MM** **2.1. – a lot of information that has no connection between. Add his info in other parts (organize) inside MM** **2.5. – negative control group – with what??** **% of deltamethrin??Manufacture??** **2.6. change to = 10mL of each treatment**	

SDI Review Form 1.6

	Change = after 2 min, each substance or treatment.. **After 7 days will be considered dead – dead ticks and ticks which did not ovoposit is different – which parameter did you actually used?** **You could have done as described by Drummond 1973 – after the evaluation of the oviposition, the eggs could be kept to check larvae hatching** **2.7. Did you count or weight the larvae??(you mention 100)** **Mortality was determined by %?** **Discussion** **Very poor and confusing. Organize this part after you clarify the aim of you work. Then you can decide what do you want to focus** **Table 1.** **Remove the last two lines (b is significant….e is sigfinicant)** **Fig 2. Remove** **Conclusion** **n-hexane extract are probably responsible**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Fernanda Carlini C. Santos***
Department, University & Country	***Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil***