



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_32059
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial Activity of Ethnic Vegetables Consumed by the Ethnic People Living in Chittagong Hill Tracts
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) In the Abstract you have mentioned fresh extract showed higher sensitivity than ethanol extract, the results not authentic. You should add literature in support to your results. 2) In the last part of Introduction you have mentioned ethnic vegetables already used in traditional treatment, it should be focused clearly in this section. 3) In Introduction experimental aims and objectives should be drawn clearly. 4) In the Materials and Methods, the procedure of ethanol extract should be written clearly. 5) In the manuscript grammatical mistake should be checked. 6) Table 3. Title of the table should be rewrite. 7) Table 3. Both in fresh extract and ethanol extract, treatment should be same and statistical analysis should be added. 8) As the antimicrobial sensitivity was tested using disc diffusion method, the picture of tested disc should be added. 9) Conclusion should be more precise and clear and conclusion should be drawn focusing the findings regarding aims and objectives of the experiment.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	10) The Manuscript should be rearranged following scientific manuscript writing style.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we follow VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Faisal Kabir</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of General Animal Science and Animal Nutrition, Patuakhali Science and Technology University, Bangladesh</i>