SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**European Journal of Medicinal Plants**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_EJMP_32507**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of growth regulators on direct shoot formation from leaf explant and antioxidant activity of leaf and in vitro plants of Cadaba fruticosa (Linn.) Druce - an endemic medicinal plant**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Nothing is mentioned about the statistical design and analysis of the experiment** **Line 85-87 are results and should moved to the result section.**	Standard Error Method (SEM) followed changed
Minor REVISION comments	1.Line 70 (Tween solution) is better to mention tap water with Tween20 2. Line 81: Rooting media is not the same like multiplication media as mention in line 81 ' *In vitro* raised shoots were excised and transferred to the same medium for 82 rooting.	Included corrected
Optional/General comments	Why NAA was not combined with BAP and TDZ	