



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_32014
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro antioxidant activity of Salacia lehmbachii ethanol root bark extract
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Which unit of Ethanol root bark and Ascorbic acid in the tables? In the text the value of IC50 is different than in table 1. The results of the IC50 in Table 2 is even 3.4? Some results in Table 3 are identical to those in Table 2, is this correct? I have doubts if the result was even significant. The IC50 of the plant is very far from the acid IC50. It should be better clarified. Why not use gallic acid as a positive control?	The authors have made the corrections as pointed out. Ascorbic acid is equally good as positive control.
Minor REVISION comments	Line 22 - Reference year Line 37 – check (, family Celastraceae) Line 42 – check spaces Line 46 and 47 check formatting Line 61 at 67 check formatting Line 64 and others - Not use the 0 number but the letter O (During all the text) Line 72 and others – 200 µm or 200 µM? ; ml for mL (During all the text) Line 76 - Add formula correctly, is not formatted Line 84 – PH? Or pH 7.4; 0.05m or 0.05 M? Line 99 – min for minutes. Check throughout the text punctuation and spacing I suggest that the tables be placed in the text soon after they are quoted.	The corrections have been effected in the manuscript and highlighted in yellow colour.
Optional/General comments		