



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_29321
Title of the Manuscript:	ETHNOVETERINARY VALUES OF NIGERIAN MEDICINAL PLANTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some spellings of scientific names need be corrected. - Line 51-53: Why restricting respondents to the Fulani tribe alone, other tribes across the country rear livestock and solve medical problems locally using plants, hence responses from other tribes will enrich the article and give it a national spread as suggested in the title - Line 70-72: Did you interview these ethnic groups too? 	<p>Thank you for this observation.</p> <p>However, the information obtained on the plants was based on personal communication instead of questionnaire.</p> <p>No I didn't. the work is more of review.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Line 1 – Change productivity to production</p> <p>Line 16 – mange repeated</p> <p>Line 48 – Change determine to Elicit</p> <p>Line 56 - 63: This is supposed to be part of Results/findings</p> <p>Line 83 - Occasional use negate your statement in line 8. If it is occasional, how do poor rural populations manage the health care of livestock especially, when considering inadequate number of veterinary doctors in relation to livestock population in Nigeria</p> <p>Line 98 – meleagueta Not spelt correctly – melegueta, also check the figure 90% of Gorilla diet cannot come from fruits of <i>A. melegueta</i> alone because it does not produce fruit massively and beside it is a pepper. Check other fruits gorilla feeds on such as Myrianthus arborea.</p> <p>Line 117- Flavonoids not flvanonoids,</p> <p>Line 125- Check the correct spellings</p> <p>Line 144- Check the correct spellings</p> <p>Line 66 – delete “were”</p>	<p>“Productivity” has been changed to “production”.</p> <p>Mange in line 16 deleted.</p> <p>“Determine” has been changed to “elicit”.</p> <p>Line 56 – 63 has been transferred to “Results section”</p> <p>“occasionally” has been removed from line 83. Bountiful thanks for that observation.</p> <p>Meleagueta has been corrected.</p> <p>You are right, but during infection, low land gorilla (Gorilla gorilla gorilla) takes very much of <i>A. melegueta</i> until it is relieved.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 75- Table not table	Flavanonoids has been corrected. Wrong spellings in line 125 and 144 have been corrected. “were” deleted. “table” has been corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The work is more of literature work and contribute to knowledge in veterinary	Yes it is a literature work.