



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_29321
Title of the Manuscript:	ETHNOVETERINARY VALUES OF NIGERIAN MEDICINAL PLANTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The current work is a review of literature of the putative application of plants in treating a range of animal's diseases. It is very useful specially for those who may explore the bioactive compounds in the reported plants and the mechanisms involved in their bioactivity. The article is well written however I have some suggestions to increase general quality of the publication. Tables 1, 2 and 3 should include another column with the references of the source of information. To conclude that therapeutic phytochemicals are mainly alkaloids, tannis...and vitamins authors should include a graph with the prevalence of this compounds in the plants with bioactivity.	Yes, it is a review work, thank you! References of the sources of information have been included in the table. A graph showing prevalence of the bioactive compounds in the plants is not necessary. Columns for phytochemicals and biological activity have been provided in Table 1 – 3.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		