



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_26453
Title of the Manuscript:	Natural Products Screening for the Identification of Selective Monoamine Oxidase-B Inhibitors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I believe that the manuscript is complete and properly substantiated, They are minimal comments and corrections to be performed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Authors should correct ml per mL, on repeated occasions this error is observed. On line 134 of the sheet 5 write it correctly. 2. In row 121 of the sheet 4, there is in the end a punctuation error. 3. In section of materials and methods, on lines 110 to 113, page 4 authors should explain more fully what parts of plants concern. Especially describe the origin of the plants and classification. Especially to establish the potential ethnopharmacological of these plants. 4. I believe that in the titles of tables, the wording of the indications of the analysis of variance should appear below the table. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The unit ml was changed to mL in all the manuscript. On line 134 the unit of IU/mL was changed to IU per mL. 2. On line 121, the sentence between the brackets (leaf, stem, root, petal, bark, resin, herb ..., etc.) was changed to (e. g. leaf, stem, root, petal, bark, resin, and herb) for clarity. 3. On line 110, the following sentence was added: Different plant dry parts (leaves, stems, roots, petals, barks, resins, or whole herbs) we also added the following: The plants used were not specific to one region. 4. The wordings of analysis were added at the end as wished: $RI_B = \%hMAO-A/\%hMAO-B$. Significance of difference between $hMAO-A$ and $hMAO-B\%$ was determined using two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak's multiple comparisons test. * $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$. under table 1., and $RI_A = \%hMAO-$



SDI Review Form 1.6

		B/%hMAO-A. Significance of difference between hMAO-A and hMAO-B% was determined using two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak's multiple comparisons test. ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$. under Table 2.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		