



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_26107
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of fifteen mangrove plants found in Sri Lanka for in-vitro cytotoxic properties in breast (MCF-7) and hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) cells.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>In introduction section, page 2, Table 1: Avicennia officinails should be corrected as Avicennia officinalis</p> <p>In abstract, authors written total 120 mangrove extracts but in results they written total 116 extracts. Which is true?</p> <p>Discussion is insufficient. Authors didn't remark phytochemicals in extracts that cause cytotoxic effects in cells. There are researches on this subject. Authors should performed literature search again and re-arranged the discussion section.</p>	<p>Corrected</p> <p>Corrected it as 116 Since we did not test stem bark extracts of <i>Phoenix paludosa</i> deleted the space which were included in the manuscript</p> <p>Discussion is improved. Included following references in the discussion (53, 54, 67, 68, 69, and 70). Changes are indicated in yellow colour</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		