Effect of pharmaceutical effluent on the growth of crops in Nigeria

5 6

1 2

3

4

7 8

Abstract

9 Environmental pollution constitutes a great health hazard to human, animals and plants with
10 local, regional and global implications. Pollution has adverse effects on land, water and its
11 biotic and abiotic components. Effluents from industries are normally considered as the main
12 industrial pollutants containing organic and inorganic compounds.

13 This experiment was conducted under laboratory condition to investigate the effect of different heavy metals in pharmaceutical effluent on germination and growth of okro 14 15 (Abelmoschus esculentus) and tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) seed. The effect of these effluents was compared with control water. The soil on which the plants were grown was 16 17 analyzed. A control sample watered with de-ionized water was also analyzed. The plant samples were divided into stem, root and leaf prior to digestion and analyzed. The soil and 18 plant samples were digested by wet-oxidation technique and analyzed for heavy metals by 19 20 atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS). Lead, Cadmium, Chromium, Zinc, Copper, 21 Nickel and Iron concentrations were found in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) and okro 22 (Abelmoschus esculentus) plants watered with different concentrations of pharmaceutical 23 effluent. The results stated that the industrial effluents significantly affect germination; root, 24 stem and shoot elongation of the investigated crops with highest concentration found in the 25 root of the investigated plants when compared to the stem and leaf. Hence, it can be 26 concluded that effluents from pharmaceutical companies is toxic to life.

27 Introduction

Pollution of the biosphere by heavy metals due to industrial, agricultural and domestic activities has be considered to be a global problem owing to its serious effects on all forms of life and exposed materials [1, 2]. Pollution of the land occurs from various degradable and non degradable materials. These materials may be solid waste, trash or chemicals. Heavy

32 metal pollution serves as a great threat to the biosphere due to the fact that they cannot be 33 degraded, rather they persist and are accumulated, hence pose severe effects on humans, 34 animals and plants. They can cause adverse toxic effects on the plants growing in the affected 35 area leading to a decrease in agricultural productivity. Moreover, due to high cost and 36 scarcity of chemical fertilizers, the land disposal of agricultural, municipal and industrial waste is widely practiced as a major and economic source of nutrients and organic matter for 37 38 growing cereal crops by poor farmers in Pakistan [3, 4]. The use of waste water in irrigation system definitely provide some nutrients to enhance the fertility of soil, it also deposits 39 40 toxicants that change soil properties in the long run. This necessitates a detailed scientific study before any specific waste can be used for irrigation for a particular crop and 41 42 environmental conditions. Different crop species may have different tolerance to various 43 pollutants. Seed germination and plant growth bioassays are the most common techniques used to evaluate phytotoxicity [5]. Present study was designed to assess the impact of heavy 44 metals in pharmaceutical effluent on germination and growth of okra (Abelmoschus 45 esculentus) and tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum). 46

47 Materials and Methods

The soil on which the studied plant was grown was taken from egbejila village, airport road, 48 Ilorin with co-ordinates latitude 08°25.535' N and longitude 004°29.885'. The soil samples 49 were air dried to remove moisture content. After drying, the samples were crushed with a 50 51 clean, dry mortar and pestle then sieved through a 2-mm sieve to fineness. Three (replicates) of tomato, okra and pepper were grown in small buckets and watered with ordinary 52 water for 5 weeks before wetting with different concentration (0%, 1%, 5%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 53 40%) of untreated effluent gotten from a pharmaceutical company. The vegetables were 54 planted between December, 2014 and March, 2015. The different plant samples were 55 harvested and sliced into chips using knife rinsed with nitric acid and air dried for 3-4 days. 56 The samples were ground and sieved with a 2mm sieve prior to digestion [6]. 57

58

59 Digestion of soil and plant samples

1g of sieved soil samples were weighed into digestion flask. 10ml of 1:1 HNO₃ was added to the digest. The sample was heated to $95^{0}C \pm 5^{0}C$ and refluxed for 10-15 minutes without boiling. The sample was allowed to cool and 5mL of concentrated HNO₃ was added and refluxed for another 30 minutes. The step was repeated by addition of 5ml of conc. HNO₃. The solution was evaporated to approximately 5ml without boiling by heating for two hours,

the sample was cooled, 2ml of water and 3ml of 30% H₂O₂ were added. The vessel was 65 covered with a watch glass and the peroxide reaction was initiated. 1-ml of 30% H₂O₂ was 66 67 continuously added with warming until the effervescence was minimal. The sample was 68 covered with a ribbed watch glass device and the acid peroxide digestate continued until the volume was reduced to approximately 5ml.After cooling, the digestate was diluted to 100ml 69 with water. 10ml conc. HCl was added to the sample digest and covered with a watch glass. 70 The sample was placed on the heating source and refluxed at $95^{\circ}C \pm 5^{\circ}C$ for 15 minutes. The 71 digestate was filtered through Whatman No. 41 filter paper and the filtrate collected in a 100-72 73 ml volumetric flask, made to volume before analyzing by FLAA [7].

74

75 Results and Discussion

The mean concentrations of heavy metals (chromium, cadmium, iron, copper, nickel, lead and zinc) in *Abelmoschus esculentus* stem, leaf and root are presented in tables 1 to 3. The samples gave a range concentration value of the 7 elements. The concentrations of the heavy metals in the various samples from the sites were detected using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer.

81 Table 1 : Mean Concentration of heavy meta	als in <i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> Stem
--	---

SampleConcentration (Mean±SD)							
	Zn(mg/kg)	Cu(mg/kg)	Fe(mg/kg)	Cr(mg/kg)	Ni(mg/kg)	Pb(mg/kg)	Cd(mg/kg)
5% OS	16.50±0.13	26.25±0.25	201.00±0.04	3.33±0.31	11.00±0.31	7.50±0.13	2.88±0.13
10% OS	32.88±0.34	54.50±0.34	319.50±0.34	8.00±0.13	10.75±0.55	9.25±0.13	3.63±0.34
20% OS	52.88±14.33	76.38±0.34	393.50±0.21	8.63±0.13	13.02±0.34	11.25±0.25	3.88±0.34
30% OS	69.38±0.125	84.38±0.125	578.88±0.13	10.38±0.34	14.50±0.13	12.63±0.13	5.00±0.13

82

83 **Table 2:** Mean Concentration of heavy metals in *Abelmoschus esculentus* Leaf

Samp	le						Concentration
(Mea	n±SD)						
	Zn(mg/kg)	Cu(mg/kg)	Fe(mg/kg)	Cr(mg/kg)	Ni(mg/kg)	Pb(mg/kg)	Cd(mg/kg)
5% OL	105.75±0.34	133.25±0.21	325.63±0.13	3.88±0.33	13.75±0.44	11.50±0.21	6.38±0.13
10% OL	163±0.45	138.75±0.13	477.63±0.13	8.50±0.13	14.75±0.34	12.75±0.34	7.87±0.45
20% OL	238.50±0.45	254.25±0.74	545.25±0.31	8.77±0.13	15.75±0.34	13±0.25	8.58±0.15
30% OL	376.75±0.21	355.00±0.76	851.75±0.25	9.13±0.34	26.25±0.25	13.75±0.13	9.13±0.34

84 **Table 3:** Mean Concentration of heavy metal in *Abelmoschus esculentus* Root

Sample

Concentration (Mean±SD)

	Zn(mg/kg)	Cu(mg/kg)	Fe(mg/kg)	Cr(mg/kg)	Ni(mg/kg)	Pb(mg/kg)	Cd(mg/kg)
5% OR	112.63±0.	120.50±0.1	314.75±0.	4.13±0.21	15.21±1.55	12.25±0.25	7.75±0.34
	13	2	10				
10%	162.75±0.	205.5±0.13	343.25±0.	8.5±0.21	15±0.25	13±0.34	8.5±0.21
OR	34		13				
20%	251.38±0.	196±0.13	701.25±0.	9.5±0.13	16±0.13	13.75±0.13	8.875±0.3
OR	13		13				4
30%	451.25±0.	492.75±0.1	917.75±0.	10.25±0.2	17±0.26	14.458±0.2	9.75±0.25
OR	21	3	21	6		6	

Generally, it is observed that the concentration of all the metals was increasing with increasing concentration of untreated pharmaceutical effluent (table 1-3). Considering the control plant (table 4), the concentration of heavy metals was significantly low.

89

90 Table 4: Mean Concentration of heavy metal in control plant.

Sample		Concentration(Mean±SD)					
	Zn(mg/kg)	Cu(mg/kg)	Fe(mg/kg)	Cr(mg/kg)	Ni(mg/kg)	Pb(mg/kg)	Cd(mg/kg)
0%BR	16.25±0.13	23.50±0.13	182.88±0.13	2.63±0.13	10.75±0.25	2.63±0.21	2.25±0.13

91 The use of many plants for food is often limited by the composition of heavy metals in them 92 as they pose dangerous effects in both man and animals [8]. Heavy metals are environmental pollutants, and their toxicity is a problem of increasing significance for ecological, 93 nutritional, evolutionary, and environmental reasons. The term "heavy metal" refers to any 94 95 metallic element which has a relatively high specific gravity (typically five times heavier than water) and is often toxic or poisonous even at low concentrations. This group of heavy metals 96 includes lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), nickel (Ni), cobalt (Co), iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), chromium 97 (Cr), arsenic (As), silver (Ag), and the platinum group elements [9]. Some of the heavy 98 99 metals (Fe, Cu, and Zn) are known to be essential for plants and animals [10]. Other heavy 100 metals such as Cu, Zn, Fe, Mn, Mo, Ni, and Co are essential micronutrients [11], excess 101 uptake of which by plants results in toxic effects [12, 13].

102 Cu is an essential micronutrient, exposure to excess Cu has a detrimental effect on plant 103 growth. In the table above, the concentration of Cu was high in the root compared to the stem 104 and leaf of Abelmoschus esculentus. Marschner [14] reported that Cu tends to accumulate in 105 the root tissue of plants and simultaneously translocate to the shoots. The concentration of Cu in the root tissue of Abelmoschus esculentus ranges between 120.5 to 492.75 mg/kg which is 106 107 far above the range of 80-100 mg/kg suggested by Marschner [14] as a general critical 108 concentration for Cu toxicity. The effect of Cu toxicity on root morphology is similar to that 109 of chromium toxicity [15]. Both affect root proliferation and reduce root hair formation and 110 hence, affect nodulation. Similarly, iron is an essential micronutrient and the third most 111 limiting nutrient for plant growth and metabolism, primarily due to the low solubility of the oxidized ferric form in aerobic environments [16, 17]. The concentration of iron in 112 113 Abelmoschus esculentus was generally high in the root compared to the stem and leaf and

- 114 consequently above optimal level [18]. Iron toxicity promote the formation of reactive
- 115 oxygen-based radicals, which are able to damage vital cellular constituents (e.g., membranes)
- by lipid peroxidation which are often characterized by bronzing (coalesced tissue necrosis),
- acidity, and/or blackening of the roots [19].
- 118 Tables 5 to 7 presents the mean concentrations of heavy metals (chromium, cadmium, iron,
- 119 copper, nickel, lead and zinc) in *Lycopersicon esculentum* stem, leaf and root.
- 120 Table 5: Mean Concentration of heavy metal in *Lycopersicon esculentum* stem

Sample

Concentration (Mean±SD)

	Zn(mg/kg)	Cu(mg/kg)	Fe(mg/kg)	Cr(mg/kg)	Ni(mg/kg)	Pb(mg/kg)	Cd(mg/kg)
5% TS	8.50±0.13	21.75±0.13	175.13±0.21	2.25±0.25	9.75±0.13	8.38±0.13	2.38±0.13
10% TS	67.13±0.21	46±0.13	279.50±0.25	5.25±0.21	12.92±0.53	10.13±0.13	2.63±0.13
20% TS	35.25±0.21	63.88±0.13	367.50±0.13	6.00±0.21	13.63±0.21	11.88±0.33	3.63±0.13
30% TS	39.25±0.50	70.25±0.13	455.96±0.38	7.00±0.34	14±0.25	13.29±0.26	3.88±0.21

121

122 Table 6: Mean Concentration of heavy metal in *Lycopersicon esculentum* leaf

Samp	le						
Conc	entration (Mean	n±SD)					
	Zn(mg/kg)	Cu(mg/kg)	Fe(mg/kg)	Cr(mg/kg)	Ni(mg/kg)	Pb(mg/kg)	Cd(mg/kg)
5% TL	112.63±0.13	101.38±0.19	147.75±0.21	3.75±0.13	12.50±0.25	12.25±0.13	5.25±0.34
10% TL	155.38±0.19	127.63±0.21	251.25±0.13	4.88±0.45	13.88±0.13	12.88±0.34	6±0.13

20%	225.5±0.21	81±0.13	362.63±64.84	5.25±0.25	14.25±0.21	12.75±0.34	7.75±0.21
TL							
30%	360.25±0.03	298.25±0.21	418.25±0.13	6.63±0.65	14.88±0.13	13.88±0.13	8.25±0.13
TL							
122							

123

124 Table 7: Mean Concentration of heavy metal in *Lycopersicon esculentum* root

Sample

Concentration (Mean±SD)

	Zn(mg/kg)	Cu(mg/kg)	Fe(mg/kg)	Cr(mg/kg)	Ni(mg/kg)	Pb(mg/kg)	Cd(mg/kg)
5%	113.13±0.21	102.00±0.13	148.50±0.21	3.88±0.13	12.63±0.21	12.63±0.13	5.38±0.13
TR							
10%	157.88±0.13	129.50±0.21	262.63±0.13	4.75±0.25	14.25±0.44	14.58±0.19	6.13±0.34
TR							
20%	220.25±0.38	251.75±0.58	252.42±0.26	5.63±0.13	14.75±0.13	14.38±0.21	7±0.13
TR							
30%	363.75±0.34	298.25±0.13	455.50±0.13	7.25±0.21	15.13±4.30	14.75±0.25	8.63±0.13
TR							
125							

125

From the tables (5-7) above, it is observed that the concentration of the metals increased with increasing concentration of the effluents in the entire samples (stem, leaf and root) with the root being highest. All the investigated heavy metals are significantly higher than the tolerable values for plants [18].

Lead is a major environmental pollutant of world-wide concern that accumulates in soils [20]. It is known to exert its toxic effect on plants by causing a rapid inhibition of root growth, probably due to the inhibition of cell division in the root tip [21]. It has been reported to have similar mechanism in several plants species, including *Triticum aestivum* [22, 23], *Z. mays* L. [24], *Pisum sativum* [25]. This effect on root growth has been shown to be similar to that of nickel toxicity [26, 27].

136 Conclusion

From the present study it could be concluded that the effluent from pharmaceutical companies are 137 138 toxic to life and consequently affect the growth of plants. All the studied metals are significantly 139 higher in concentration compared to the control group and as well higher than the permissible limit by world health organization. The increase in the concentrations of these elements from 140 141 the control might be as a result of their presence in soil and pharmaceutical effluent as well as 142 143 144 References 145 1. Igwe, J.C., Nnorom, I.C. and Gbaruko. B.C.G. (2005). Kinetics of radio nuclides and 146 heavy metals behaviour in soils: Implications for plant growth. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 4: 147 148 1541-1547. 2. Srivatava, R., Kumar, D. and Gupta, S.K. (2005). Muncipal sludge-induced 149 150 phytotoxicity. ALTLA. 33: 501-508 151 3. Younas, M. and Shahzad, F. (1998). Assessment of Cd, Ni, Cu and Pb pollution in 152 Lahore. Pakistan Environ. Intern.24: 761-766 153 4. Jamal, A., Ayub, N., Usman, M. and Khan. A.G. (2002). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi enhance Zn and Ni uptake from contaminated soil by soybean and lentil. Int. J. 154 155 *Phytorem.* 4: 205-221. 5. Kapanen, A. and Itawara. M. (2001). Ecotoxicity tests for compost application. 156 Ecotox. Environ. Safety., 49: 1-16. 157 6. Eaton, A.D., Clesceri, L.S., Rice, E.W., Greenberg, A.E. and Franson, M.A. (1998). 158 Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. American public 159 health Association, 20th edition, 22-29. 160 7. Nawaz, S., Ali, S.M., and Yasmin, A. (2006). Effect of industrial effluents on seed 161 germination and early growth of Cicer arientum. J. Biosci., 6: 49-54. 162 8. Kubmarawa, D., Andenyand, I.F.H. and Magomya, A.M. (2008). Amino acid of two 163 164 non conventional leafy vegetables: Sesamum and Balanitesaegyptical. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 7(19): 3502-3504. 165 9. Farlex, I. (2005). Definition: Environment, the Free Dictionary. Farlex Inc. 166 Publishing, USA. 12-15. 167

168	10. Wintz, H., Fox, T. and Vulpe, C. (2002). Responses of plants to iron, zinc and copper
169	deficiencies. Biochem. Soc. Trans., 30: 766-768.
170	11. Reeves, R. D. and Baker, A.J.M. (2000). Metal-accumulating plants. In:
171	Phytoremediation of Toxic Metals: Using Plants to Clean Up the Environment. 193-
172	229. Wiley. New York.
173	12. Blaylock, M.J. and Huang, J.W. (2000). Phytoextraction of metals. In:
174	Phytoremediation of Toxic Metals Using Plants to Clean Up the Environment. 53-70.
175	New York.
176	13. Monni, S., Salemma, M. and Millar, N. (2000). The tolerance of Empetrum nigrum to
177	copper and nickel. Environ. Pollut., 109: 221-229.
178	14. Marschner, H. (1995). 'Mineral nutrition of higher plants.' (Academic Press: London).
179	15. Hecht-Buchholz, C.H., Brady, D. J., Asher, C. J. and Edwards, D. G. (1990). Effects
180	of low activities of aluminium on soybean (Glycine max). II. Root cell structure and
181	root hair development. Plant nutrition - physiology and applications. M. L. van
182	Beusichem. Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers: 335-343.
183	16. Zuo, Y. and Zhang, F. (2011). Soil and crop management strategies to prevent iron
184	deficiency in crops. <i>Plant Soil</i> . 339: 83-95.
185	17. Samaranayake, P., Peiris, B.D. and Dssanayake, S. (2012). Effect of excessive ferrous
186	(Fe ²⁺) on growth and iron content in rice (Oryza sativa). Int. J. Agri. Biol., 14: 296-
187	298.
188	18. Laan, P., Smolders, A. J. P. and Blom, C.W. P. M. (1991). The relative importance of
189	anaerobiosis and high iron levels in flood tolerance of Rumex species. Plant Soil.
190	136:153-161.
191	19. Cesco, S., Neumann, G., Tomasi, N., Pinton, R. and Weisskopf, L. (2010). Release of
192	plant borne flavonoids into the rhizosphere and their role in plant nutrition. Plant Soil.
193	329: 1-25.
194	20. Traunfeld, J. H. and Clement, D. L. (2001). Lead in Garden Soils. Home and
195	Garden. College Park, MD: Maryland Cooperative Extension, University of
196	Maryland.
197	21. Eun, S. O., Youn, H. S. and Lee, Y. (2000). Lead disturbs microtubule organization in
198	the root meristem of Zea mays. Physiol. Plant. 110357-365.
199	22. Dey, S. K., Dey, J., Patra, S. and Pothal, D. (2007). Changes in the antioxidative
200	enzyme activities and lipid peroxidation in wheat seedlings exposed to cadmium and
201	lead stress. Braz. J. Plant Physiol. 19 53-6010.

- 202 23. Kaur, G., Singh, H. P., Batish, D. R. and Kohli, R. K. (2013). Lead (Pb)-induced
 203 biochemical and ultrastructural changes in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)
 204 roots. *Protoplasma* 1:53-62.
- 205 24. Kozhevnikova, A. D., Seregin, I. V., Bystrova, E. I., Belyaeva, A. I., Kataeva, M. N.
 206 and Ivanov, V. B. (2009). The effects of lead, nickel, and strontium nitrates on cell
 207 division and elongation in maize roots. *Russ. J. Plant Physiol.* 56:242–250.
- 208 25. Malecka, A., Piechalak, A. and Tomaszewska, B. (2009). Reactive oxygen species
 209 production and antioxidative defense system in pea root tissues treated with lead
 210 ions: the whole roots level. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 31:1053–1063.
- 26. Munzuroglu, O. and Geckil, H. (2002). Effects of metals on seed germination, root
 elongation, and coleoptile and hypocotyl growth in *Triticum aestivum* and *Cucumis sativus*. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 43:203–213.
- 214 27. Verma, S. and Dubey, R. S. (2003). Lead toxicity induces lipid peroxidation and
 215 alters the activities of antioxidant enzymes in growing rice plants. *Plant Sci.* 164:645216 655.