



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_45807
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Triumphetta rhomboidea Leaves extract on the Corrosion Resistance of Carbon Steel in Acidic environment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1) Avoid TR in abstract. 2) Need improvement Fig. 3 3) The conclusion has little confusion, so it should be rewritten. 4) The manuscript has typo-errors. 5) Results discussion should be improved, Author, should follow the papers and cited.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. P. Vennila, S. Kavitha, G. Venkatesh, P. Madhu, Experimental and theoretical investigation of <i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i> leaves extracts as the corrosion inhibitor for mild steel in H₃PO₄ solution; synergistic effect, Der. Pharma Chem. 7 (2015) 275-283. 2. S.P. Palanisamy, G. Maheswaran, C. Kamal, G. Venkatesh, <i>Prosopis juliflora</i>—A green corrosion inhibitor for reinforced steel in concrete, Res. Chem. Intermed. 42 (2016) 7823-7840. 	<p>1) <i>Triumfetta rhomboidea</i> have been written in full in the abstract 2) Checked 3) Conclusion have been revised 4) Gone through the work over again and typo errors corrected. 5) Results and discussion presented based on the extent of work carried out</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	