



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Chemical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_45807
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Triumphetta rhomboidea Leaves extract on the Corrosion Resistance of Carbon Steel in Acidic environment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1) The manuscript has some typo errors.  2) Results and discussion should be elaborate.  3) The electrochemical measurements of the present study would be more interesting. If possible, authors instructed to measure electrochemical behaviour.  4) Conclusion should be rewritten.</p> <p>1. Kamal, C.; Sethuraman, M.G. <i>Kappaphycus alvarezii</i> – A Marine Red Alga as a Green Corrosion Inhibitor for Acid Corrosion of Mild Steel, <i>Mater. Corros.</i>, 2013, DOI: maco.201307089.  2. MGL Annaamalai, G Maheswaran, N Ramesh, C Kamal, G Venkatesh, P Vennila, Investigation of Corrosion Inhibition of Welan Gum and Neem Gum on Reinforcing Steel Embedded in Concrete, <i>Int. J. Electrochem. Sci</i>, 13, (2018) 9981-9998.</p>	<p>1) Gone through the work over again and typo errors corrected.  2) Results and discussion presented based on the extent of work carried out  3) Electrochemical measurements have been carried out in most of my previous corrosion study but the timing for this present study was limited. In our next project, we shall include Electrochemical measurement as you have suggested  4) Conclusion have been revised</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	