



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_43748
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk Assesment of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons In Water Sample From Dadin Kowa Dam, Gombe State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No.1. Change the title of the manuscript. (It is a research paper not a review paper, So keep it in appropriate category) No.2. Abstract should not be more than 10-12 lines. No.3. Water and pollutants are not a key words. No.4. Figure should be numbered and sampling sites should be to be mentioned in the figure. No.5. Do not mention the concentration of PAHs in E-01, E-02, E-03, E-04, E-05 etc. (Write the PAH values in decimal as far as possible) No.6. Some part of discussion is not properly explained. No.7. Delete PAHs enter water... landfill leachate and surface runoff. No.8. Where is Tables 4.15 to 4.18? No.9. Go through the conclusion carefully what you have written. No.10. Some other major mistakes exist in the manuscript (The author(s) should go thoroughly throughout the manuscript and re-submit the corrected manuscript).	I Have effected all the reviewer's comment as highlighted in the text. With exception of No. 5 which is the best way of presenting such data
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		